Draft Position Paper Comment Log

Scope c	and Application				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCAN	Comment 1: small business definition (Please refer to the agreed position paper for the three options proposed)	Comment 1: ACCAN supports bringing the TC code's definition of consumer in line with the updated definition of consumer in the ACL (\$100,000). ACCAN considers that as the draft financial hardship standard proposes alignment with the ACL, any subsequent code provisions that would be placed in the revised code should follow this precedent. ACCAN considers that consumers and small businesses should not be subject to fewer protections from codes in the telecommunications sector compared to the wider application of the ACL. ACCAN is concerned that the proposed approach may unduly exclude small businesses from the protections of the TCP Code that they would otherwise receive under the ACL.		ACCAN's comments do not consider the intent of the protections. Aligning to an instrument that has its threshold set for different purposes does not make sense, per the details provided in the paper. Information on numbers is commercially sensitive but individual CSPs have offered to discuss details with the ACMA, confidentially, individually. We note that ACCAN has not provided any evidence to explain its concerns, and which carve outs might be a problem for how many, and why. As stated in the paper, the DC does not believe that the proposed definitions would risk excluding genuinely small business from the Code's protections.	submission to the ACMA or the draft FH Standard. We further note that individual CSPs are very happy to talk to the ACMA directly with more information on costs per comment left, and per CA discussions with the ACMA staff.
		concerns about incurring	g		

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
		compliance costs without an attributable benefit and would appreciate further detail on the number and proportion of small businesses that would be excluded from the scope of the TCP Code by alternative definitions.			
	Comment 2: Large businesses currently in scope It is also important to note that keeping the TCP Code threshold at \$40,000 would not affect a CSP's obligations under the ACL, for example, in relation to misleading and deceptive conduct, and the consumer guarantees and warranties. Businesses would still have those essential ACL protections related to the acquisition of telecommunications goods and services.	Comment 2 : ACCAN would appreciate further clarification and quantification about the costs to providers that a change in the threshold of TCP code application from \$40,000 to \$100,000 would result in.			
TIO	Small business definition Overarching	 1.We agree it is not the purpose of the TCP Code to cover genuinely large or enterprise businesses. However, the scope of the Code should be careful not to exclude small businesses. 2.To ensure that the TIO provides an effective 		As stated in the paper, the D does not believe that the proposed definitions would r excluding genuinely small business from the Code's protections.	

Scope o	and Application				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		
		service we will need to			
		re-examine our			
		definition for small			
		business consumers in			
		light of changes in			
		other regulatory			
		instruments. As such,			
		there is limited merit in			
		attempting to align			
		the TCP Code's			
		definition with ours.			

<mark>Accessi</mark> Org.		Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if	DC Comment	How it has been
Jig.	12206	Commeni	applicable)		addressed
TIO	All accessibility issues as outlined in paper	We are supportive of these proposals in principle, but we cannot make full comment until we are able to review the draft Code.		substantially changed	N/A (Cannot be addressed until full drafting.)
ACCAN	 Proposal – increase prominence and understanding of accessibility requirements in the Code through new headings and linked guidance. Sample drafting Chapter 4: Supporting the Customer - effective communication. 1.CSPs must communicate with consumers in a manner appropriate to the consumer's communication needs, including those with a disability. (current 3.2.2) 2.CSPs must ensure that frontline customer service staff are able to communicate effectively in plain language (updated 3.2.2). 	se opportunities understanding We agree the somewhat of amended it is new ed guidance. rting the Proposed amended wording for 1. innunicate Proposed amended wording for 1. sin a manner the munication g those with a ent 3.2.2) ACCAN considers that this drafting be replaced with: ret that - 'CSPs must provide methods of communication that are accessible for people with disability.' getter that - 'CSPs must provide methods of communication that are accessible for people with disability.'	We agree the wording is somewhat clunky and have amended it in both places.	 Wording amended: 1.CSPs must communicate with consumers in a manner appropriat to their needs and circumstances (including for consumers with a disability). 3(a). Appropriately trained and resourced to assist customers (includir those with a disability). 	
	3.CSPs must ensure that frontline staff are: a. provided the appropriate resources and training to assist customers with identified needs, including the disclosed	guidance to support	ACCAN considers that the drafting of 3(a) should be revised to: - 'Appropriately trained and resourced to assist customers with disability'.		

<mark>Accessi</mark> Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if	DC Comment	How it has been
Jig.	13500	Common	applicable)		addressed
	needs of those with a disability (updated 4.5.2);				
	 3.CSPs must ensure that frontline staff are: b. trained to recognise and interact appropriately and effectively with disadvantaged and vulnerable consumers (updated 3.4.2); c. trained to assist consumers find out where to access information about telecommunications products that may suit their specific disclosed needs. (updated 4.5.2 (d)). 				
ACCAN	Guidance(to accompany above drafting) Guidance - effective communication Plain language. Unless the CSP is targeting another language group (possible cross reference to the clause relating to targeted advertising to a language other than English), this means that the CSP must use plain English in its communications. As a guide, this means clear, straightforward expression that an average 12-14 year old Australian could understand.	ACCAN considers that if a CSP is targeting another language group other than English, that the CSP should be required to provide support materials in that language (Including but not limited to: CIS, Essential Information and Advertising).		To confirm, our intent re requirements for targeting another language group is consistent with this, as reflected in the drafting of the 'Languages' paper. It will be easier to ensure this is clear once more drafting is complete, but we have updated the Agreed Position Paper to make this is clearer.	Guidance box updated as follows: Guidance – effective communication Plain language. Unless the CSP is targeting another language group (cross reference to the clause relating to a language other than English to be inserted - see Agreed Position Paper – Language and translation services), the means that the CSP must use plain English its communications. As

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if	DC Comment	How it has been	
-			applicable)		addressed	
	Guidance(to accompany	ACCAN considers that		We are happy to have	a guide, this means clear, straightforward expression that an average 12–14-year- old Australian could understand. The Guidance box ha	
	above drafting)	this guidance should be updated with contact		suggestions for additional resources and have	been updated as suggested with the tw	
	Guidance – resources and	details for these		updated the Guidance box		
	training	resources. ACCAN		with the two additional	has been added to	
	The following guides and	considers that		resources suggested.	confirm that each	
	resources may be useful:	additional resources			resource will be	
	<u>Reading Writing Hotline -</u>	should be added to this		To address the proposal to	hyperlinked.	
	Reader friendly	list, for example:		include contact details , we		
	communication – A guide to			will hyperlink each resource		
	using plain language	• Disability Awareness:		within the Code for easy		
	• <u>Accessible Telecoms -</u> a free	disabilityawareness.c		reference.		
	service to find independent	om.au				
	and up-to-date information	Design for Dignity				
	on telecommunication	Guidelines				
	products and services that	https://and.org.au/D				
	are suitable for seniors and	FD/				
	people with disabilities.					
	 <u>Accesshub</u> – a government website with information and 					
	resources for the deaf					
	community.					
	<u>Global Accessibility</u>					
	Reporting Initiative (GARI) –					
	designed to help consumers					
	to find a device (e.g. phone,					
	tablet, app, connected					
	wearable) that has the					
	accessibility features that					
	work for them.					
	• <u>ACCC Guide –</u> selling to					
	Consumers with disabilities –					
	A guide to competition and					
	consumer law: for businesses					

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if	DC Comment	How it has been
.9.			applicable)		addressed
	selling to and supplying				
	consumers with disability.				
	• ACCC Guide – selling to				
	vulnerable Consumers				
	consumer-vulnerability.pdf				
	(accc.gov.au)				
	(see also: Vulnerable consumer				
	paper; sales paper)				
CCAN	Proposal – update the TCP	ACCAN considers that		In addition to ACCAN, we	N/A (not a drafting
	Code to appropriately	the DC should provide		have been engaging with	issue)
	reference the latest version of	more detail on the		Intopia and they are being	
	WCAG.	experts/bodies that they	/	very helpful and are happy	
	Note: A key part of the DDA,	have consulted with.		assist us on drafting to	
	which is the primary instrument	Additionally, the DC		ensure it's consistent with	
	in this space, relates to web	should consult with		the requirements and new	
	accessibility. Rules for web	reputable		guidance being	
	accessibility are set out in Web	accessibility/disability		developed.	
	Content Accessibility Guidelines				
	(WCAG).	to understand how to		It has just been formally	
		appropriately achieve		announced that Intopia will	
	The requirements for CSPs in	this aim.		be working in partnership	
	relation to accessibility are no			with the Australian Human	
	different from those for any			Rights Commission to	
	other public-facing entity (i.e., they are not in any way telco-			update the Disability Discrimination Act's Web	
	specific). In line with ACMA			Advisory Notes. From their	
	guidance on Code			media release of 3	
	development, which states that			December:	
	Codes should not repeat or			December.	
	paraphrase legislation, this			"The current version of the	
	would generally suggest that			advisory notes (version 4.1),	
	specific clauses about			guides people and	
	accessibility in the TCP Code			organisations to make their	
	may not be appropriate, as			websites and other web	
	reference should be to the			resources accessible to as	
	DDA, and related guidance			many people as possible,	
	produced by the Australian			including people with	
	Human Rights Commission on			#disability.	
	compliance.				

Access				I	
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
				"We'll update the document	
	However, as guidance is			next year to reflect the	
	currently not as clear as it could			latest #accessibility	
	be (though CA understands			standards and insights from	
	that it is shortly to be updated),			our Human Rights and	
	the Drafting Committee (DC)			Technology Report.	
	believes that it is useful to have			recentlology Report.	
	clear information about			"We'll being inviting	
	expected requirements under			feedback as we update the	
	the DDA included in the Code			web advisory notes."	
	to increase its visibility and				
	understanding.				
	The DC has had some				
	preliminary discussions with				
	experts in the field to try to				
	understand the best way to				
	appropriately achieve this aim				
	and proposes to consult further				
	to ensure that any wording is				
	accurate and as useful as				
	possible.				
1ADD4		When developing new	(change drafting to specifically	This is a drafting issue - we	Wording has been
		web content, CSPs must	reference AA)	0	amended as follows:
	policies/governance	meet AA or higher		We have amended the	1.When developing
		compliance as set out	Guidance should be updated to:	wording.	new digital content
		in the current Web			(websites,
	· · · · ·	Content Accessibility	"To meet the expectations of the	In relation to the AS EN	applications and
		Guideline (WCAG).	DDA, organisations are strongly	standard, we are happy to look into this further, but it	content), CSPs must conform to Level AA
	[refreshed 3.2.5] 1.When developing new web		encouraged to make sure their	-	(or higher) of the
	content, CSPs must meet the		websites and applications are at	appears to relate to products and services	current Web
	minimum standard as set out		least AA compliant with the latest version of WCAG. When	purchased for internal use	Content Accessibility
		considering accessibility	developing or procuring software	rather than to issues relating	
		at the start of any new	or hardware, organisations are	directly to the Code. It	
		customer software or	strongly encouraged to ensure the		Guidance - WCAG
	· · · · ·		product is compliant with AS EN	be out of scope for this	WCAG standards are
				Code.	developed by the
		and ensure that ICT	for ICT products and services."		World Wide Web
		products and services			Consortium (W3C), and

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	strongly encouraged to make sure their main systems are current to new WCAG requirements within 12 months of any updates.' Note: Advice on appropriate wording and guidance is being sought from experts in this field. Drafting may be amended accordingly.	are either developed in- house or purchased are complaint with AS EN 301 549 "Accessibility requirements for ICT products and services".	-		cover digital accessibility, including web, mobile and applications. CSPs are strongly encouraged to monito the Web Accessibility Initiative (W3C) websit for updates to the WCAG, to allow them to comply with new updates as soon as possible after they are released. To meet the expectations of the Disability Discriminatio Act (DDA), CSPs are strongly encouraged t make sure websites and applications are updated to be compliant with the latest version of WCAC within 12 months of an updates.
ndustry	Proposal – update the TCP Code to require accessibility policies/governance (on drafting as above)	Need an implementation time when WCAG changes. Advice is that under the DDA, there would be a reasonable approach to enforcement, but we are concerned that requirements in the Code effectively make it immediate, and that the ACMA will enforce		The DC notes that the government appears to allow itself reasonable implementation time (the current standard is WCAG 2.2 (recently released), but the Digital Transformation Agency requirements still reference WCAG 2.1. We would welcome the ACMA's reassurance that	

Accessil Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if	DC Comment	How it has been
Jig.	12200	Comment	applicable)		addressed
				Either way, we are proposing adding appropriate referencing to the Code to point CSPs to material to help them understand their obligations under the DDA and develop the appropriate policies and processes to ensure they are meeting their obligations. A draft guidance box has been included to show how this might be appropriately referenced - pending further advice (see column	
ndustry	Chapter 4 drafting above. Need clearer guidance about what accessibility means in this			right). Will be clearer as drafting evolves - work in progress.	
ACCAN	This would provide more detail on expectations, including in relation to inclusive design, and links to relevant references.	WCAG. This ensures a more accessible		Re the physical location issue, we think this would be appropriate material for the proposed Guideline. Re inclusive design, this is a best practice concept, which is why it is included as guidance.	5
	that we would need to tackle after Code registration, which would allow it to appropriately reference expected new guidance on the DDA. Guidance – best practice:	experience by design for consumers. ACCAN considers that for CSPs with physical locations consider the Design for Dignity guidelines which cover off on access to built			

g.	sibility Issue	Comment Suggested change to drafting (if		DC Comment	How it has been
9.			applicable)		addressed
	Inclusive design does not	premises, meeting			
	suggest that it is always possible	rooms, toilets etc.			
	(or appropriate) to design one	https://and.org.au/wp-			
	product to address the needs	content/uploads/2021/1			
	of the entire population.	0/Design_for_Dignity_Gu			
	Instead, inclusive design guides	idelines_Aug_2016.pdf			
	an appropriate design				
	response to diversity in the	ACCAN considers that			
	population through:	this concept not only be			
	developing a family of	introduced into the			
	products and derivatives to	guidance and also be			
	provide the best possible	introduced as a			
	coverage of the population.	mandatory requirement			
	• ensuring that each individual	in the code.			
	product has clear and				
	distinct target users.				
	 reducing the level of ability 				
	required to use each				
	product, in order to improve				
	the user experience for a				
	broad range of customers, in				
	a variety of situations.				
	Specialist solutions may still be				
	required to satisfy the needs of				
	those with particular				
	vulnerabilities or needs.				
	Reference and for more				
	information: <u>University of</u>				
	Cambridge Inclusive Design				
<u> </u>	<u>Toolkit.</u>				
ĊA	N Proposal – CA to draft a		ACCAN would welcome further	Comments were provided	
	guideline on accessibility.		drafting on this and cannot	in the circulated draft	
	This would provide more detail		comment on this section in its	position paper on timing	
	on expectations, including in		absence.	and content.	
	relation to inclusive design, and				
	links to relevant references.			We would consult	
	This would likely be something			separately on that	
	that we would need to tackle			Guidance doc when	

Accessi	Accessibility								
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)		How it has been addressed				
	after Code registration, which would allow it to appropriately reference expected new guidance on the DDA.			drafting.					

Code	structure, measurements of succes	s and reporting			
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
TIO	 1.Introduce a Code structure where each chapter sets out: required outcomes for consumers High level expectations about what RSPs are required to do to ensure the consumer outcomes are realised The code rules for the chapter Measures of success that need to be recorded and reported to measure whether the high-level outcomes and rules are being complied with. (The Drafting Committee has not provided further detail or drafting for the Measures of Success) 	transparency and to help consumers make an informed choice about their telco. However, we cannot comment without more detail about how the proposed obligations will work.	We will need to see fuller drafting in context before commenting further.	Noted.	No changes.
	 1a. Under the proposal, the Measures of Success would need to be reported through: Public reporting Risk management processes, and the CommCom attestation process 				
	1b. The intention is for the public reporting to be in a simple, easy-to-read format, to provide transparent and comparable measurements for industry, regulators and consumers. The format would				

Drg.	tructure, measurements of success Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
лу.	13300	Comment	drafting (if applicable)	De comment	
	remain constant throughout				
	the life of the TCP Code.				
	1c. The proposed risk				
	management process				
	reporting would require each				
	telco to record and monitor				
	compliance with key clauses				
	of the code, to be available				
	for the ACMA to request				
	where there is evidence that				
	the desired consumer				
	outcomes are not being met.				
	VIssue	This point is noted, but		Noted, a review of	No changes.
00/ 1	Identified consumer (and	the key issue raised is		transparency will form part of	
	regulator) problem.	lack of		the substantive drafting of new	
		transparency/detail		MoS under the new code in	
	insufficient knowledge or	around the operation of		2024. Note the shift to greater	
		the attestation process.		public reporting requirements.	
	independence and value of the				
	independent attestation process	Re: review of CIC			
		reporting, is there any			
		detail as to when			
		capacity may be			
		sufficient to allow review			
		ACCAN raises this as			
		there is a fairly significant			
		amount of policy work			
		slated for next year and			
		progressing the review is			
		critical to strengthening			
		the operation of the			
		code.			
	Proposal - Measures of Success	ACCAN considers that		Noted, further detail will form	No changes.
		more information should		part of the substantive drafting	
	To demonstrate Code outcomes			of new MoS under the draft	
		nature of process metrics		Code in 2024. Note the shift to	

Org.	structure, measurements of success	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
Jg.	13300	Comment	drafting (if applicable)		
	 achieved, CSPs must be able to produce: process metrics - policies and/or supporting materials; and effectiveness metrics - reporting and/or data. For example, the current CiC report is an effectiveness metric. 	and measures of success. ACCAN supports in principle more transparency in reporting on code compliance. In ACCAN's view, the details of the MoS should be subject to further consultation with the TIO, ACMA and ACCAN to ensure that the measures reflect the TCP Code provisions and provide a fit for purpose framework for consumer		greater public reporting requirements.	
<u> </u>		comparison.			
CCA	N <u>Public Reporting, customer</u> service metrics relevant to the	ACCAN queries what actions will be taken		Please note CA position paper on regulatory reform.	No changes.
		through the Code review process to review and strengthen enforcement outcomes. ACCAN would seek clarification from the DC on the incentives and sanctions in place or developed as part of this code review to drive compliance outcomes.		The updated MoS do not only go to reputational risk through public reporting - the shift to a clear hybrid structure is intended to create clearer expectations on CSPs and direct avenues for compliance activity.	
	to-read format, to provide transparent and comparable measurements for industry, regulators, and consumers. It expands requirements in the current Code under clause 4.7 to issues beyond complaints. The intention is to have an agreed format report – tied to the TCP Code – that remains	ACCAN does not consider mechanisms which solely rely on reputational costs to drive their effectiveness as a fit-for-purpose compliance mechanism.			

Drg.	Issue	Comment Suggested change to DC Comment			How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		
	constant throughout the life of				
	the TCP Code. It would be				
	changed only if8there's a				
	problem, or when the Code is				
	reviewed. This makes data				
	collection more efficient and				
	allows comparison year-by-year.				
		The lack of detail around		Noted, further detail will form	No changes.
		customer service		part of the substantive drafting	č
	Code	performance indicators		of new MoS under the draft	
		limits ACCAN's ability to		Code in 2024.	
	Identifying meaningful and	comment on this section			
		of the proposed			
		approach. ACCAN			
	included cannot be	considers that any			
	meaningfully identified until	developed customer			
	drafting has further progressed	service performance			
	on each chapter.	indicators should be			
		subject to consultation			
		and be material to the			
		consumer			
		telecommunications			
		experience.			
CCAN	Risk Management Process (RMP)	-		Noted, further detail will form	No changes.
		there is scope to use		part of the substantive drafting	č
		RMP reporting as a more		of new MoS under the draft	
		stringent form of		Code in 2024, including which	
		enforcement when		MoS are publicly reported.	
		concerning key			
	,	consumer protections.			
		ACCAN would			
	1 /	encourage CA to review	,		
	desired consumer outcomes are				
		submissions of the TIO,			
	0	ACCC and ACMA to			
		identify key areas of			
	Carriage Services Online Safety	non-compliance and			
	Code (Class 1A and Class 1B	inform their assessment			
		of RMP reporting clauses			
		ACCAN supports RMP			

Code s	structure, measurements of succ	ess and reporting			
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	method	reporting being made public in order to ensure that consumers are fully informed regarding compliance and can take action to drive competition on service outcomes.			

	bebt management (including restrict			DC Commont	How it has been
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)		addressed
ACCAN	Issue: Identified consumer concerns. Confusion Customers do not understand the difference between • debt management processes	ACCAN notes that confusion around these processes is an issue faced by consumers. Feedback from consumers indicates that provider's	t	We agree. Industry is working to address any customer confusion across the customer journey. We are including relevant plan information as outlined in Essential Information Position Paper,	No change at this point.
	 for restriction/suspension/ disconnection which apply to post-paid services (where there is a debt), and processes relating to non- payment (for example, where an automatic payment is missed) for upfront payment plans 	communications to consumers often makes distinguishing between these processes difficult and is an area of potential further improvement by industry.		Selling Practices, to ensure clear communication of the difference between plan types and consequence of non- payment.	
(CCAN	Proposed Approach Summary: New Obligation to: 3.Provide additional information for suspension notices to include notice to the customer that consequences for ultimately failing to pay the debt could result in the debt being referred to a debt collection agency (if relevant), and to highlight seriousness of situation.	Notwithstanding the FH standard is still subject to consultation, ACCAN supports suspension and disconnection notices providing the details of TIO and the national debt helpline.		practical issues with, and the potential for creating confusion by, including TIO details and the national debt helpline (alongside the already included link to the Financial hardship policy) on	No change at this point. We understand that this a contentious issue. If the ACMA is concerned about our approach, we would appreciate the opportunity to work with the ACMA to agree an acceptable approach.

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
.9.			drafting (if applicable)		addressed
				financial hardship help, to	
				avoid the action. We are	
				concerned that adding to the	
				already extensive information	
				requirements in notices by	
				including the TIO and national	
				help line details, will cause	
				customer confusion without	
				also providing sufficient	
				context that a customer	
				should raise a complaint with	
				the CSP first. This will drive more	
				calls to the TIO which will be	
				redirected to the CSP to raise	
				a complaint.	
	Proposal – New obligations to keep	ACCAN supports in		We understand the	No change at this poin
		principle, the DC's intent		perspective and protecting	
	disconnect customers that the CSP			customers experiencing DFV is	
	has identified as being affected by	future financial hardship		important. However,	
		standard. ACCAN		extending protections to	
	1	considers that		include customers who are	
		consumers who have		'likely to be experiencing DFV'	
		indicated that they are		is broad and would be difficult	
		experiencing or are likely	,	to implement.	
		to experience DFV			
		should not be subject to		Proposals include introducing	
		credit management		a new obligation not to	
		action due to the nature		disconnect customers/keep	
		of consumer		customers connected who are	
	be consumer-led; the DFV-affected	vulnerability.		identified as experiencing DFV	
		Additionally, consumers		specifically, which should	
		who have indicated		address your concerns. We	
		they are experiencing or		note that there will also be	
		are likely to experience		relevant protections afforded	
	maintaining connection, there may			under the Financial Hardship	
		disconnected from their		Standard generally for those in	
		telecommunications		vulnerable circumstances,	
	should refer to their DFV policy and	service by their provider.		which is likely to apply in	
	Communication Alliance's Industry			practice to most customers	
	Guideline G660 for further detail			experiencing DFV.	

Drg.	ebt management (including restrict Issue				How it has been
Jig.	13500		drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	addressed
	and				
	guidance.]				
	[]				
	See also position paper: Domestic				
	and Family Violence				
CCAN	Proposal – New obligation to	ACCAN considers that		Noted. We will consider this	No change at this point
	reconnect customers disconnected	the CSP should make the		further in discussions on	C
	in error or without required notice	customer aware of the		Metrics.	
		nature of the accidental			
	Sample drafting	disconnection when			
	Chapter 9: Credit management,	their service has been			
	debt management and	reconnected through an			
	disconnection (TBD)	accessible			
	1.Where a customer's	communication			
	telecommunication service has	method. This would			
	been disconnected in error, the	ensure that consumers			
	CSP must reconnect the service.	are aware that the			
	2.Where a telecommunications				
		disconnection was			
		accidental and that			
	without the required notification	their provider has			
	[refer to the notices required], the CSP must reconnect the	acknowledged it as			
		such. Additionally, CSPs			
	service, unless the customer	should be mandated to			
	advises that they do not wish	provide guidance to customers on how			
	the CSP to do so.				
	3.CSPs must keep accurate	customers may achieve compensation due to			
	records of the customer's	suffering losses as a result			
	indication.	of an accidental,			
		incorrect disconnection.			
		ACCAN considers that			
		records should be kept			
		of accidental			
		disconnections and			
		reconnections, with			
		reporting of these cases			
		as part of the MoS			
		framework.			

	Debt management (including restrict				
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
CCAN	to a debt collection agency. Note: - 'If relevant' ensures that customers are not sent information about action that the CSP will not take (for	notices are reflective of the requirements of providers as part of the FH standard. Providers should be required to include links to the TIO, National debt helpline and Financial Counselling Services on any proposed suspension notices. Customers should be provided with assistance located in the suspension and	require that the telecommunications sproviders provide the consumer with accessible links to the providers' domestic and family violence policy, the TIO, the national debt helpline and to relevant financial counselling services.	Noted. See above comments regarding including TIO and debt helpline details. Further, we note that links are recognised as an increasingly poor way to direct customers within communications in light of increased scam activity. Economic abuse is specifically recognised in DFV guidelines, and we consider there is limited utility in including specific information about debt disputes that may be as a result of economic abuse in the notices.	No change at this point. We understand that this a contentious issue. If the ACMA is concerned about our approach, we would appreciate the opportunity to work with the ACMA to agree an acceptable approach.

Credit/D	ebt management (including restric	tion, suspension, and disc			
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	 Proposal – New obligation to require suspension notice to include, if relevant, reference to potential referral of debt and for suspension notice and disconnection notice, highlight seriousness of situation. The DC notes concerns that communications can be threatening and the debt collection process intimidating. However, on balance, it considers there is benefit of a notice at suspension stage, before progressing to disconnection notice, to highlight potential consequences of customer inaction. This would provide an opportunity to encourage the customer to have a conversation with their CSP about their circumstances and needs, which may lead to the customer accessing the CSP's financial hardship policy. 	ACCAN considers that suspension and disconnection notices should be drafted with significant regard to consumer vulnerabilities. Consumers being disconnected or suspended from their service is likely a result of the consumer experiencing financial hardship. The DC should ensure that any suspension notice, and disconnection notice makes the consumer aware of the providers' financial hardship arrangements and aligns with the financial hardship standard.		See above comments which should address your concerns.	No change at this point.
ACCAN	Proposal – New obligation to require suspension notice to include, if relevant, reference to potential referral of debt and for suspension notice and disconnection notice, highlight seriousness of situation. Sample Drafting [Drafting note: We propose to draft	ACCAN considers that disconnection notices should include accessible links to a provider's domestic and family violence policy, the TIO, the national debt helpline and financial counselling services. (In addition to	require that the telecommunications providers provide the consumer with accessible links to the providers' domestic and family violence policy, the TIO, the national debt helpline and to relevant financial counselling services.	As noted above, providing links within communications can be problematic. Disconnection notices already require a significant amount of information. However, if the ACMA considers that inclusion of a reference to (rather than a link, as that has security risks)	No change at this point.
	separate clauses for each of the restriction, suspension or disconnection notices	the link to the financial hardship policy required by 6.7.5 of the TCP code		DFV policy would be a helpful addition and lead to better consumer outcomes, we	

		tion, suspension, and disconnection)		DC Commont How it has h	
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	to make it clear what is required for each type of notice.]	mentioned above).		would be open to discussing this.	
	Clauses: A Disconnection notice [where required] must include: 1. (a)[retain current content requirements in 6.7.5 a, b, and d]				
	Amendments in red (c) about the consequences of non-payment, including that the Customer's default leading to the Disconnection may be disclosed to a Credit Reporting Body and/or collection agency and/or debt buyer and may be added to the Customer's credit file with a Credit Reporting Body;				
1ACC	 Other DC Considerations Spend management tools - whether it is possible for CSPs to send usage notifications more promptly than the 'no later than 48 hours' currently required. However, it is not technically feasible to ensure that usage notifications are sent within the hour, as proposed in some submissions. It also is not feasible to decrease this time in all cases to less than 48 hours. This is because, while many usage notifications will be sent within a day or less, some usage notifications are not able to be sent until later due to time lags in CSPs receiving some data 	limitations should be clearly communicated to consumers		The DC will review requirements to ensure such limitations are appropriately communicated to consumers. We believe that this is already happening, in practice in relevant contractual terms.	No change at this point

Credit/Debt management (including restriction, suspension, and disconnection)							
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed		
	receiving data from other network operators when a						
	customer is roaming).						
CCAI	N Proposal - other updates to take	ACCAN would		This is explained in the Agreed	No change at this point		
	into account comments made in	appreciate further		Position Paper. We are unsure			
	industry submissions:	clarification related to		what further information would			
	Restrict, suspend and disconnect			be useful to ACCAN. If ACCAN			
		consumers receive from		(or others) would like further			
	6.7.1 (a) allows CSPs to restrict,	this provision.		information, we would be			
	suspend and disconnect			happy to arrange a briefing.			
	services without notice in certain						
	circumstances. These	requirement for notice to					
	circumstances are clearly	be provided in the case					
	defined and are intended to	of restriction, suspension					
	protect the consumer and other						
	telecommunications users – (e.g.		5				
	where the CSP reasonably	an improved customer					
	suspects fraud, or where the	experience.					
	service is suddenly being used in						
	a way that is running up						
	excessively high charges and						
	immediate action is required to						
	prevent the customer incurring a						
	large debt). The DC considers						
	that the exceptions are						
	necessary and appropriate, as						
	the benefits provided by						
	protecting telecommunications						
	users outweigh concerns the						
	clause could be used as a						
	'loophole' to restrict, suspend or						
	disconnect customers without						
	notice. The DC also notes that it						
	is not in the interests of CSPs to						
	restrict, suspend or disconnect	+					
	customers without notice withou	1					
	good reason, as this would be a						
	poor consumer experience.						

Credit/D	ebt management (including restrict	ion, suspension, and disc	onnection)		
Org.	Issue		Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCAN	 Proposal – See above Restrict, suspend and disconnect services without notice - The DC has considered whether there is benefit to requiring that there be some form of communication to the customer that their service will be restricted, suspended or disconnected in the limited circumstances set out in clause6.7.1 (a). However, the DC considers that this is likely not feasible nor beneficial given the aims of the section to prevent consumer harm. 	ACCAN considers that in the event that providers wish to use this provision, that appropriate records are kept so that		We consider there are already sufficient requirements to keep appropriate records of disconnections that may form the subject of a dispute. The ACMA or TIO are already able to investigate disputes, should they have concerns about how this clause is being used.	No change at this point.
ACCAN	Not including TIO's details on credit management notices - Suggested amendments to restriction, suspension and disconnection notices - The DC reviewed notice requirements to consider how to	adequate outcome for		Refer to previous comments regarding including TIO information on notices.	No change at this point.

Credit/[redit/Debt management (including restriction, suspension, and disconnection)							
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed			
TIO	balance, it is better to focus only on the key messages and not specifically include the TIO's details in the body of the restriction, suspension, or disconnection notices.	<u>TIO:</u> Our	IIO: The Code should require telcos to include information about the TIO, including our telephone number and web address on all reminder, barring, suspension and disconnection notices (recommendation 21 from our submission).	Refer to previous comments regarding including TIO information on notices.	No change at this point.			
TIO	Proposal - new obligation to reconnect consumers' services where the services were disconnected in error or in contravention of notice requirements.	Welcome the obligation to reconnect consumers services where the services were disconnected in error or in contravention of notice requirements. This aligns with recommendation 18 from our submission to the Code Review. However, we would like further detail about which notice requirements the obligation will refer to, and we are concerned there are no mandatory timeframes for reconnection.		Noted. We will be addressing this through more detailed drafting.	Will be addressed once we get into the more detailed drafting.			

Credit	Credit/Debt management (including restriction, suspension, and disconnection)							
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed			
TIO	Proposal – New obligation to reconnect customers disconnected in error or without required notice	The proposed obligation to reconnect should apply to all disconnections in contravention of disconnection notice requirements and all other erroneous disconnections. It should also specify a mandatory timeframe for reconnection.			No change at this point. Will be considered further once we get into the more detailed drafting.			
TIO	Proposal - new obligation to keep consumers affected by DFV or natural disasters connected	New obligation to keep consumers affected by DFV or natural disasters connected is good in principle, but further detail could be provided in the drafting. We would like to see the final drafting showing how the DFV/natural disaster obligations will work and when they will apply.		Noted. We will be addressing this through more detailed drafting.	Will be addressed once we get into the more detailed drafting.			
TIO	Proposal – New obligation to require suspension notice to include, if relevant, reference to potential referral of debt and for suspension notice and disconnection notice , highlight seriousness of situation.		disconnection warning notice, followed by a separate disconnection notice before disconnecting	We understand the TIO's perspective regarding strengthening credit management notice requirements by extending the notice periods. It would seem reasonable to move to a longer minimum notice period before disconnection. We will consider this in more detail in the drafting stage whether and how best to reflect this intent. We consider there is limited utility in adding a further warning notice of	No change at this point. Will be considered further once we get into the more detailed drafting.			

Drg.	/Debt management (including restrict	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
ng.	15500		drafting (if applicable)		addressed
				disconnection to the credit	
				management process and to	
				do so would risk overloading	
				and confusing consumers.	
0	Proposal – See above.	We remain concerned		See above comments which	Will be considered furthe
		the rules proposed in the		should address your concerns.	once we get into the
		Payment Methods and			more detailed drafting.
		Credit/Debt			
		Management position			
		papers are unlikely to			
		fully meet the Outcomes			
		and Expectations of the			
		chapter. In our view, the			
		proposed notice periods			
		telcos would be required			
		to observe before taking			
		credit management			
		action (which remain			
		largely unchanged from			
		provisions in the current			
		Code) are not sufficient			
		to ensure disconnection			
		occurs only as a last			
		resort.			
C	Outcomes	The Outcomes and	In our view, the Chapter	We note the suggested	No change at this point.
-	I. Customers are notified of	Expectations listed in		emphasis. We explicitly and	
	potential credit and debt	Chapter 9 of the draft	outcome that consumers	deliberately capture that	
	management activity.	Outline appear to	are only disconnected as	telcos will treat disconnection	
	II. Customers are informed of the	largely align with our	a last resort. This would	as a last resort in our	
	consequences of non-payment	feedback about the	ensure the focus remains	Expectations rather than in	
	for telecommunications	need for more robust	on telcos' conduct in	outcomes. The outcomes are	
	products, including the	credit management	completing credit	intended to be broad, and we	
	restriction, suspension and	processes that give	management processes,	are not sure adding it to the	
	disconnection of	consumers sufficient	rather than on their	outcomes is necessary nor	
	telecommunications	notice about credit	broader policies.	adds value through repetition.	
	services.	management action			
		against them. We			
	Expeditions	especially welcome the			
	Expectations	inclusion of the			
	I. CSPs will have processes for				
	restriction, suspension and	expectation that telcos			

					edit/Debt management (including restriction, suspension, and disconnection)						
rg.	Issue	Comment	00 0	DC Comment	How it has been						
			drafting (if applicable)		addressed						
	disconnection for non-paying	will treat disconnection									
	customers not in financial	as a last resort, as this									
	hardship.	recognises the essential									
	II. CSPs will provide sufficient notice										
	to customers about credit and	telecommunications									
	debt management activity,	services.									
	including suspensions,										
	restrictions, disconnections, and										
	referrals to debt collection										
	agencies.										
	III. CSPs will treat disconnection										
	as an option of last resort.										
0	Proposal - New obligations to	It appears the intention	The requirements do not	Our focus was to draw	No change at this poin						
	provide clear information for all	of the sample drafting is	place clear, positive	particular attention to the							
	plan types about the	for the standard	obligations on telcos to	disconnection notice (see							
	consequences of missed automatic	restriction, suspension,	issue warning notices or	details in the Agreed Position							
	payments	and disconnection	investigate the cause of	Paper). The suggestion to							
		notice requirements not		extend the timeframe for the							
	The issues raised in submissions	to apply to 'upfront' or	acting to restrict, suspend	disconnection notice may							
	would appear to relate to	'subscription-based'		sufficiently address this							
	confusion about the difference in	services. Instead, the		concern and very strongly							
	payments and processes related to	sample drafting has	We reiterate our	encourage consumers to take							
	all plan types, but particularly	proposed requirements		action.							
	where an upfront payment service	for telcos to send	telcos should be required								
	may be supplied in conjunction	'reminder notices' for	-	As noted above, when we							
	with a device on a payment plan	upcoming direct debit		review the content of the new							
	that would be considered a debt.	payments, and for	by a separate	proposed reminder notices,							
	New information-provision	missed automatic		we will consider these							
	requirements would address this.	payments.		comments further. We believe							
	See payments paper and essential			these will address these							
	information paper.	These requirements		concerns.							
		alone are unlikely to:									
	Notes:	 stop failed direct 									
	All types of prepaid plans have	debits leading to									
	significant financial	suspension, restriction									
	management benefits for the	or disconnection of									
	consumer, as there is no	services									
	capability for a customer to	 to avoid where a 									
	incur debt and end up in debt	failed DD leads to a									
	management processes relating										

Credit/Debt management (including restriction, suspension, and disconnection)						
org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed	
	 to the plan's telecommunication services. Reminder notices for missed automatic payments have been discussed in the paper on 'Payments' and will be included in the Payments chapter of the TCP Code. This includes the proposal that there be a formal requirement for reminder notices for missed automatic payments. See also the 'Essential information' paper on the provision of information about the nature of service and 	their device contract in full immediately.				

	Assessment	Comment	Suggested shapes to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
Drg.	Issue		Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)		
ACCA	Proposal – credit management,			Support noted	No changes
	debt risk	principle the			
	A new obligation would	establishment of a new			
	consider the risk of financial	obligation for providers to			
	harm to residential consumers -	consider the risk of			
	a risk of a debt or default listing	financial harm to			
	where the potential debt may	consumers where the			
	be \$150 or more, in line with the				
	Privacy (Credit Reporting) Code	equal to or exceeding			
	2014.	\$150.			
	V Proposal – credit management,	ACCAN supports this		Protections for existing postpaid	d No changes
	debt risk	provision in principle and		customers are captured in the	
		would recommend its		'existing customers' clauses, it	
	Sample drafting	extension to existing		would be confusing and	
	Chapter 6 Responsible selling:	customers who have		duplicative to include them in	
	Sales, contracts and credit	previously held debt with		the new postpaid customer	
	assessments: Assess capacity to	the provider. This provisior		protections.	
	pay and manage debt, existing	should extend to existing			
	postpaid customers [update cl	prepaid customers and			
	6.1]	existing customers who			
	1.Before entering a contract	have held debt with the			
	with a new residential	provider (such as a			
	consumer or an existing	contract with the			
	residential prepaid customer,	provider in the past).			
	a CSP must complete a				
	credit assessment if the				
	contract may result in a debt				
	owed by the consumer equal				
	to or greater than \$150.				
ACCA	V Proposal – credit management,	ACCAN considers that a		Different risks occur based on	No changes
	debt risk	credit assessment must		different product types. CSPs	
		additionally include more	,	must have the ability to modify	
	Sample Drafting	detailed requirements in		their credit assessment to	
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	relation to the examples		match that risk - i.e. there is a	
	Sales, contracts and credit	provided for the existing		difference between a 12	
	assessments: Assess capacity to	criteria under 2(a)(iii).		month service contract and a	
	pay and manage debt, existing			36 month mobile payment pla	า
	postpaid customers [update cl	under this clause,		for a \$1800 device. The detail	
	6.1]	providers should be		of the credit assessment needs	
	2.A credit assessment must	required to take into		to be proportionate to the risk	

org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	include: a. consideration of the consumer's financial circumstances. This may include: iii.Affordability indicators (e.g. income, age, time at current address, residential status, data held within credit file, financial hardship indicators, general expenses, telecommunication	account all of the factors listed including income, age, time at current address, residential status data held within current file, financial hardship indicators, general expenses and telecommunications expenses. The code should explicitly require the consideration of these provisions rather than use them as an		to customer and CSP, while having some baseline consistency.	
CCAN	expenses); Proposal – credit management, debt risk Sample Drafting Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Assess capacity to pay and manage debt, existing postpaid customers [update cl 6.1] 2.A credit assessment must include: a) Where the customer is seeking to increase their current credit limit with their CSP, a new external credit check from a credit reporting body. A CSP must gain the consumers consent to request a new check. Guidance - Calculating Potential Debt	explicitly by the code provisions.		This is required in the current drafting - a credit assessment including an external credit check is required where the consumer seeks to increase their current credit limit	No changes

	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
13200	Comment	drafting (if applicable)		
circumstances CSPs should not require evidence from the consumer about their financial circumstances unless the CSP can demonstrate a risk proportionate to the risk of		Amendment: CSPs must not require evidence from the consumer about their financial circumstances unless the CSP can demonstrate to the consumer a risk proportionate to the risk of collecting the personal	The purpose of this guidance is for CSPs to consider the risk of collection of PII, not guidance on consumer disputes about collection.	No changes
Evidence may be required where the consumer has a poor credit history, previously declined credit assessments or where a consumer is seeking to overturn a declined credit assessment.				
credit assessment Sample Drafting 1.Following a credit assessment, if a CSP concludes that a consumer does not qualify for the requested telecommunications product, the CSP must: a. advise the consumer that their credit assessment was declined; and b. provide the consumer with information about alternate telecommunications	ACCAN considers that this requirement should be amended.	consumer with information about alternative telecommunications	recommendations. The Guidance has not been drafted, so we can incorporate this language once drafted.	New (b) provide the consumer wit information about alternate telecommunications products that the CSP has determined may meet their requirements in accordance with the outcome of the credit assessment .
	circumstances CSPs should not require evidence from the consumer about their financial circumstances unless the CSP can demonstrate a risk proportionate to the risk of collecting personal information. Evidence may be required where the consumer has a poor credit history, previously declined credit assessments or where a consumer is seeking to overturn a declined credit assessment. Proposal - Outcome of failed credit assessment Sample Drafting 1.Following a credit assessment, if a CSP concludes that a consumer does not qualify for the requested telecommunications product, the CSP must: a. advise the consumer that their credit assessment was declined; and b. provide the consumer with information about alternate	Issue Comment Guidance: evidence of financial circumstances ACCAN considers that this should be amended. CSPs should not require evidence from the consumer about their financial circumstances unless the CSP can demonstrate a risk proportionate to the risk of collecting personal information. Comment Evidence may be required where the consumer has a poor credit history, previously declined credit assessments or where a consumer is seeking to overturn a declined credit assessment. ACCAN considers that this requirement should be amended. Sample Draffing 1.Following a credit assessment if a CSP concludes that a consumer does not qualify for the requested telecommunications product, the CSP must: a. advise the consumer that their credit assessment was declined; and b. provide the consumer with information about alternate telecommunications ACCAN considers that this requirement should be amended.	IssueCommentSuggested change to draffing (if applicable)Guidance: evidence of financial circumstancesACCAN considers that this should be amended.Amendment: CSPs must nor require evidence from the consumer about their financial circumstances unless the CSP can demonstrate a risk proportionate to the risk of collecting personal information.Amendment: CSP can demonstrate to the consumer a risk proportionate to the risk of collecting personal information.Evidence may be required where the consumer has a poor credit history, previously declined credit assessment.ACCAN considers that this requirement should be amended.Amendment: proportionate to the risk of collecting areadit assessment, if a CSP concludes that a consumer dees not qualify for the requested telecommunications product, the CSP must: a. advise the consumer that their credit assessment was declined; and b. provide the consumer with information about alternate telecommunicationsACCAN considers that this requirement should be amended.Amendment: products and services that the provider has determined will meet their requirements in accordance with the outcome of the credit assessment.1.Following a credit requested telecommunications product, the CSP must: a. advise the consumer that their credit assessment was declined; and b. provide the consumer with information about alternate telecommunicationsb. provide the consumer with information about alternateconcludes the consumer with information about alternateconcludes the consumer with information about alternatedelermined will meet the c	Issue Comment Suggested change to draftling (if applicable) DC Comment Guidance: evidence of financial circumstances ACCAN considers that this should be amended. Interpreter evidence from the consumer about their financial circumstances unless the CSP can demonstrate to the consumer a risk proportionate to the risk of collecting personal information. The purpose of this guidance is for CSPs to consider the risk of collection of PII, not guidance on consumer disputes about consumer a risk proportionate to the consumer to the consumer to the consumer to the consumer is seeking to overturn a declined credit assessment. Amendment: provide the consumer with information. Agreed in concept to the requested 1. Following a credit assessment, i concludes that the trequested concludes that a consumer that their credit assessment was declined; and b. provide the consumer with information about altemate ACCAN considers that this requirement should be amended. Amendment: provide the consumer with information about atternative telecommunications products and services that the provider has determined will meet their requirements in accordance with the outcome of the credit assessment. Agreed in concept to the consumer with information.

Org.	Assessment Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
Jig.	15500		drafting (if applicable)		
	'alternative telecommunications				
	products', including prepaid				
	services or low-cost options				
IO	(overarching)	Credit assessments		As noted in the paper, credit	No changes
		We have previously		assessment is part of the	
		highlighted the		responsible selling framework.	
		importance of credit			
		assessments considering		As noted in the paper, CSPs	
		a consumer's living		only have access to negative	
		expenses and existing		external credit checks and are	
		debts. While the proposa		unable to see positive credit	
		lists 'general expenses'		information that may be on a	
		and 'telecommunication	s	customer's file.	
		expenses', we support			
		explicit language that		To address this issue, we have	
		requires consideration of		introduced the concept of	
		a consumer's living		'affordability indicators'. As	
		expenses and existing		noted above, these must be	
		debts (with limited		flexible, to enable CSPs to	
		collection of data) to		adjust based on the risk of the	
		ensure telcos assess the		product the consumer is	
		full picture of a		seeking to purchase.	
		consumer's capacity to			
		pay.		We need to balance a	
		On our review, the draft		customer's privacy and reasonable information	
		package also does not appear to ensure that		gathering, with an assessment	
		credit assessment rules		of capacity to pay.	
		apply equally to			
		consumers. It appears			
		that there is a list of what			
		must be considered in a			
		credit assessment for a			
		new customer or existing			
		prepaid customer, but			
		several of the criteria to			
		consider are optional for			
		credit assessments for an			
		existing customer.			

	Assessment	Carrant			
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
TIO	Proposal - Outcome of failed	The current position and		We will update the language	Updated language in line
	credit assessment	sample drafting does not		for the draft 'declined credit	with ACCAN's more
	Where a residential consumer	appear to address other		assessment' clause.	specific recommendation
	fails a credit assessment, the	key concerns noted by			
	current cl 6.1.2 would	the ACMA that we share.			
	result in the residential consumer				
	being directed to a more	noted under the current			
	appropriate	TCP Code there is only an	1		
	telecommunications product	obligation to advise			
	that better suits their financial	consumers they are			
	circumstances. No updates to	unlikely to afford a			
	the function of this clause are	service if a credit check			
	recommended, however the	determines this, but there			
	-	is no obligation on the			
	with the simple English updates.	telco to only sell a			
		cheaper alternative. The			
	Sample draffing	sample draffing does not			
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	appear to address this, as			
	Sales, contracts and credit	the updated clause 6.1.2			
	assessments: Declined credit	also does not include an			
	assessment, [update cl 6.1.2]	obligation to only sell a			
	1.Following a credit	cheaper alternative.			
	assessment, if a CSP				
	concludes that a consumer				
	does not qualify for the				
	requested				
	telecommunications				
	product, the CSP must:				
	a. advise the consumer				
	that their credit assessment				
	was declined; and				
	b. provide the consumer				
	with information about				
	alternate				
	telecommunications				
	products that may meet				
	their requirements.				
	Guidance - Add guidance on				
	'alternative telecommunications	5			
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
----------	--	---	--	--	---
	products', including prepaid services or low-cost options				
Industry	Requirement for staff to collect additional information from consumers for the purpose of credit assessments.	Increasing the requirement to collect information will be a physical risk for frontline staff in retail (as was the case with the introduction of MFA, where there was in increase in physical altercations in retail stores).		Noted	No changes
Industry	 Proposal – credit management, debt risk A new obligation will consider the risk of financial harm to residential consumers - a risk of c debt or default listing where the potential debt may be \$150 or more, in line with the Privacy (Credit Reporting) Code 2014. Sample Drafting Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Assess capacity to pay and manage debt, existing postpaid customers [update cl 6.1] 1.Before entering a new contract with an existing residential customer, a CSP must complete a credit assessment if the new contract may result in a debt owed by the customer equal to or greater than \$150 	opportunity to choose not to perform the credit assessment when you know you will not pursue the debt. We have been playing with the concept but note it probably doesn't quite work yet. We don't want it to seem like a 'get out of jail free card' as this is not the intention. We are keen to discuss with the DC.	perform a credit assessment in accordance	Agreed to consider concept of no credit assessment being required where no debt will be owed and/or pursued. Other protection around responsible selling remain to ensure offers are appropriate to the needs and circumstances of the customer.	to when a debt is owed and pursued: 1.Before entering a contract with a new residential consumer or

	er Service	Commonst	Successed of an and a	DC Commont	
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
TIO	Overall comment on all proposals in this position paper.	We support these changes in principle, but would like to see more detailed drafting of the relevant provisions in context.		Noted. Key new or substantially changed clauses have been our focus for this part of the process, but the iterative drafting process for further drafting will provide stakeholders with further opportunities to review provisions in context.	N/A
ACCC	Overall proposals (without drafting) as tabled at RC3.			N/A	N/A
	ACCC did not provide written comment on the Position papers, as noted. But support for the general proposal was provided at RC#3 by ACCC staff: From RC#3 minutes: Ms. Morice - • supportive of overarching proposals as tabled • questioned whether, with the possible inclusion of a new chapter, is it proposed that the Code will be structured around the customer lifecycle? Ms. Wilson advised that yes, the new code structure would essentially be structured around the customer journey, with overarching obligations/information at the start.				

	er Service	Commont	Suggested shapas to	DC Commont	
)rg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	 service channels and related information is clearly communicated The Code will include provisions to require that: CSPs make available clear information about the available contact channels for consumer enquiries, assistance, and support to enable customers to make an informed decision about a service to purchase, including in relation to the support channels available to them. Contact channels 	in the 'guidance - contact channels' section.		This provision in no way limits the contact channels. The DC believes that, in a competitive market, it is reasonable for a business to be able to define its business model or target market and cater accordingly, as long as it is upfront and clear about options available, and there are appropriate protections for vulnerable customers. New and strengthened provisions to cover both these issues have been proposed. New provisions have also been proposed to require 'real time' communication with customers. Our drafting was not as clear as it might have been, however, and we have adjusted it accordingly.	deleted.

Org.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	reasonably reflect the user demographics. For example, if a product is targeted at the youth market, it would be reasonable to have a particular focus on digital communication channels. If the customers are mainly older consumers, other contact mechanisms would be reasonably expected				
ΠΟ	Proposal as above Guidance - contact channels. Contact channels should reasonably reflect the user demographics. For example, if a product is targeted at the youth market, it would be reasonable to have a particular focus on digital communication channels. If the customers are mainly older consumers, other contact mechanisms would be reasonably expected			 We acknowledge the current requirements of the Complaints Handling Standard (CHS) respecified contact channels. This would obviously continue until whenever that instrument is reviewed. We note discussions in RC#3 (when this paper was discussed): Ms. Gebert – very supportive of the proposal that there be a requirement in relation to escalation to a 'real person', noting that support is (again) conditional on seeing full drafting. noted that there needs to be consistency with Complaints Handling Standard (CHS) re complaints. In response to questions from Ms. Wilson and Mr. Sexton about whether this constancy might be appropriately achieved through an update to the CHS, which is currently quite dated and very prescriptive, requiring CSPs to 	

rg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
				have a postal address and phone number, Ms. Gebert emphasised that she is focused on the outcome.	
X AN	service channels and related information is clearly communicated Chapter 7 – Customer Service: Effective service [update current 4.7.1]	detailed requirements for providers to deal with enquiries within certain periods of time as identified by the submissions to the 2018 TCP code review and mentioned earlier in this position paper.	ACCAN's 2018 TCP Code Recommendation 9: Adding the following wording to Cl 4.9.1(b): 'Telephone contact: Customer wait times to be connected to the right customer service area to handle an enquiry must be kept to a maximum of five minutes. • Live chat: an acknowledgement to an enquiry must be provided within two minutes. • Other contact methods: email, online and social media inquiries must be acknowledged by the Supplier within one working day.'	When trying to agree on retail service standards, it's hard to define a 'reasonable' timeframe for all enquiries. However, the DC is looking at this issue further in relation to monitoring.	To be further reviewed ar considered in the next stages of drafting.
	Note: drafting would include appropriate exceptions to the requirements outlined at (a) and				

	er Service	Commont	Suggested shares to	DC Commont	llow it has been addressed
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	(b) e.g., to make it clear that these requirements would not apply where such action might jeopardise the safety of a victim of domestic and family violence				
ACCAN	Proposal – clear customer service escalation pathways Sample drafting Chapter 7 – Customer Service: Contact channels [new clause] 1. CSPs must provide at least one contact channel that enables customers to communicate with a representative in real time, or near real time. Guidance – to be drafted – per	ACCAN supports this provision in principle (noting comments above)		N/A	N/A
ACCAN	the proposal commentary Proposal – new requirement in relation to case management The Drafting Committee (DC) proposes to include a clause requiring that CSPs be able to demonstrate case management processes and procedures: have been designed to prioritise customer safety and security, and progress towards a workable and satisfactory outcome for the consumer, while also appropriately balancing the desire to: • avoid or minimise the need for a customer to constantly repeat details of their situation or problem, and	ACCAN supports this proposal in principle however in the absence of drafting, cannot comment extensively on this section. ACCAN supports mandated review periods and training for these processes and procedures.		Drafting is necessarily limited by time constraints, but intention is agreed, as noted. Refer to the DFV Guideline for some example of drafting to reflect case management issues (it is DFV specific, but same principles apply).	

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	between repetition of the issue and wait time (noting that warm transfers may cause delays).				
	Best practice in case management will depend on the issues being addressed. For example, case management for customers affected by domestic and family violence may be different to that for a customer with an enquiry about				
	coverage. No sample drafting included.				
ACCA	N Proposal – clearer requirement	ACCAN considers this		It is not clear whether ACCAN is	Minor changes have been
	about the management of the	drafting vague and		suggesting changes to the	made to the drafting, for
	ongoing relationship with a	would support more		clause drafting, or just the	clarity. A Guidance box
	customer. The DC will include	provisions being included		guidance box. We would be	has also been included.
	information and/or provisions	in this section in relation		happy to explore this further	
	around:	to what consumer needs		when time allows. However, to	2.A CSPs must:
	• understanding that consumer			confirm, as per the proposal,	a. ensure
	needs can change;	supports that in some		the DC proposes to require that	
	• making all reasonable efforts			CSPs make reasonable effort to	
	to be able to meet those	may need to record		ask consumers what their need	
	needs; and	information in order to		are, and to make reasonable	identify their needs,
	 providing access to timely 	limit customers having to		efforts to meet those needs. But	t and to advise
	advice and support,	reiterate their needs.		there has to be recognition	consumers on offers
	including, where relevant, in			that a consumer has to want	that the CSP has
	relation to external referrals,	ACCAN considers that a		that assistance and disclose	available that best
	should the CSP be unable to	guidance note with		needs as part of the process, if	match those needs;
	offer services to assist the	examples should be		it is to be useful. And that the	b. provide information
	customer's specific needs.	provided alongside this to		CSP may not have the 'perfect'	that can help
		clarify to providers and		product for the consumer.	consumers predict
	Sample drafting	consumers an			their typical
	Chapter 6 – Responsible selling:	acceptable use case of		It is unclear how recording	telecommunications
	Sales, credit assessments and	this provision. ACCAN		information is a useful or	usage; and
	contracts: Meeting consumer	would welcome further		proportional response,	c. identify which of
	needs [update current 4.5.2]	drafting on this provision.		particularly given the emphasis	their available offers

Custon	Customer Service					
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed	
	 1.If a consumer identifies a particular need to a CSP, the CSP must indicate if it has an offer that may suit the consumer's identified need. 2.A CSPs must: a. ensure representatives are appropriately trained to assist the consumer identify their needs, and to advise consumers on offers that the CSP has available that best match those needs; b. provide information that can help consumers predict their typical level of telecommunications usage and what offers may be suitable for that level of usage. 	the TCP code should establish a provision to mandate that providers allow consumers to easily access an accessible plot graph of their data usage which is plotted over intervals such as 3 months, 6 months, 12 months and 24 months in order for them to easily quantify their usage for their own comparison. Providers must allow	ł	on the fact that consumer needs can change. We think ACCAN's suggestion of a guidance box would help ensure the intent is reaslised and have made note of this intent in the 'Agreed Position Paper'. Re the suggestions on plot graphs, we question its use and the suggested level of prescription. We have proposed that sales staff be trained to assist consumers work out their likely needs. Additionally, a quick google reveals that there are numerous resources to help a consumer understand their needs, provided both by CSPs and by numerous other organisations - including based on historical data stored on the user's own device, and information about typical hourly use data of different activities (google maps, YouTube, etc.).	data sharing amongst family members, wanting a plan that offers the best deal for calling a designated country regularly, or meeting certain budgetary needs.	

Out-of-scope. But note CA position paper on regulatory reform.	addressed N/A
enforceable, the DFV drafting committee	However, we have mad some requirements more detailed and explicitly stated that CSPs must have regard to the G66
erdicio gio fu ADel Digibial cite thathicithicithicithicithicithicithicith	nforceable, the DFV rafting committee ommitted to key provisions oing in the TCP Code - a ommitment that the DC ully supports. s discussed at RC#2, the C acknowledges that the lectricity sector incudes FV requirements and uidance all in one place, ut the presentation of buse is much more omplicated in the elecommunication sector nan in the electricity sector nd managing the issues is nerefore also much more omplicated.

Domes	stic and Family Viole	ence			
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
				practice document and is	
				the correct place for this	
				detail. It would be	
				impractical and confusing t	0
				include such detail in the	
				TCP Code. To explain furthe	er:
				The guideline provides	
				operational best practice	
				guidance about how to	
				manage DFV as a whole - o	at
				a company level and at	
				every stage of the custome	
				journey. It includes detailed	k
				explanations of the issues,	
				with case studies and	
				guidance to illustrate the	
				issues as they are likely to	
				present to CSPs. It explains	
				how different approaches	
				might work best for differen	IT
				company sizes and	
				structures.	
				As the Agreed Position Pape	er
				explains, there are numerou	JS
				current obligations in relatio	n
				to DFV, which are	
				necessarily split across a	
				number of different	
				technical Codes; capturing	
				all the different scenarios	
				into a single instrument	
				would be very complex. The	
				updated CA DFV Guideline	•
				makes these connections	
				clear – including making	
				clear where other, related	

Drg.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
				mandatory obligations (in	
				other instruments) lie. (See	
				detail about cross references	
				in Agreed Position Paper.)	
				The new DFV provisions	
				proposed for the TCP Code	
				mandate action in new	
				areas (as presented) and	
				clearly highlight the CSPs'	
				obligations in the space.	
				However, we agree that CSPs should be referring to	
				the Guideline when	
				developing their policies.	
				We have therefore added a	
				specific statement in the	
				drafting that CSPs must have	
				regard to G660.	
CCAI	Proposal - DFV-support policy	ACCAN considers that this	ACCAN considers that	We do not dispute the	New drafting, see bel
	and governance requirements	drafting should reflect the	this sample drafting	severity of DFV and it is our	
	Introduce a requirement for	severity of DFV.	should be amended to:	intent to ensure appropriate	
	policies and systems to manage		Domestic and	protections through the TCP	
	and respond to DFV.		Family Violence,	Code.	
			including processes		
	Introduce a requirement to have		that mandate the	In relation to the point at b,	
	governance arrangements to		establishment of	this is covered - we refer	
	monitor compliance, including		identification,	ACCAN to the definition of	
	oversight by a senior executive.		support and safety		
			requirements for	be included. This covers all	
	Sample drafting			I types of abuse, including	
	Chapter 4 - Supporting the		or likely to be	economic.	
	Customer: DFV-support policy		affected by DFV.		
	and governance requirements		• Economic abuse,	But we've amended some	
	1.CSPs must have policies and		including processes		
	supporting materials on the		that mandate the	demonstrate our agreed	
	following, managed, and		establishment of	commitment to this position -	
	overseen by a senior		identification,	see below against TIO	
	executive:				

Domes	tic and Family Violence				
Org.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	a. Domestic and Family		support and safety	comments.	
	Violence, including		requirements for		
	processes that consider the		consumers affected		
	identification, support, and		or likely to be		
	safety considerations for		affected by		
	consumers affected by		economic abuse.		
	DFV.		ACCAN supports		
			the governance		
			arrangements that		
			clearly provide for		
			senior executive		
			staff to have		
			accountability and		
			responsibility for		
			ensuring the		
			delivery of DFV		
			protections. ACCAN		
			considers that		
			further detail is		
			required on the		
			substantive		
			requirements		
			associated with this		
			provision. At present		
			drafting does not		
			clearly indicate		
			what protections wil		
			be afforded to		
			consumers affected		
			by DFV.		
TIO	(comments relating to the	We support the requirement	Recommendation 28	As above, we agree with	Chapter 4 - Supporting
	drafting above)	for policies and systems to	from our submission	the intent of all and have	the Customer: DFV-
		respond to DFV in principle.	supports the following	amended drafting to better	support policy and
		However, the proposals does	measures:	reflect our intent.	governance
		not discuss arrangements	The mandatory		requirements
		about monitoring	The mandatory	NOTE: (1) The policy will be binding if included in the	1 CSPs must have policies
		compliance and what this	protections for	e	1. CSPs must have policies
		would involve, or any review	consumers experiencing	Code. (2) the point made in	and supporting materials

	tic and Family Violen				
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
		processes/requirements.		(f) is already dealt with in	on the following,
			minimum:	proposed drafting provided	- managed and overseen
				see the proposal and	by a senior executive:
			A) requirements for a	accompanying drafting in	
			telco to have a	the paper 'Domestic and	a. Domestic and Family
			binding DFV policy	Family Violence' - contract	Violence. CSPs must
			that clearly sets out	requirements.	have regard to the
			how it will identify and		Industry Guideline G660:
			assist consumers		Assisting Consumers
			experiencing DFV		Affected by Domestic
					and Family Violence,
			B) requirements for all		when developing their
			telco staff who deal		policies, which must:
			with consumers (and		clearly set out how th
			their managers) to		CSP will identify,
			receive ongoing		support, manage,
			training in how to assist		review and monitor
			consumers		DFV issues;
			experiencing DFV		• emphasise safety (of
					the affected consum
			C) requirements for		and CSP staff).
			telcos to have a		• be trauma-informed;
			secure process to		 ensure that a CSP's
			assess and identify		responsibilities,
			whether a consumer is		communication
			affected by DFV, that		channels, case
			avoids the need for		management, suppo
			the consumer		and escalation
			repeatedly disclose or		requirements (externed
			refer to their		and internal) are clea
			experience		• align with and
					appropriately
			D) requirements for		reference mandatory
			telcos to recognise		consumer obligations
			DFV as a likely cause		under other
			of payment difficulties,		instruments (e.g. the F
			and consider the		Standard).
			impact of any service		

omestic and F org. Issue	Comment	Suggested change to DC Comment	How it has been
		drafting (if applicable)	addressed
		suspension or	Guidance: Developing a
		disconnection for the	DFV Policy
		consumer before	It is essential that CSPs
		starting credit	have their own,
		management or debt	company-specific DFV
		collection activity	policies and supporting
			material to ensure a safe
		E) rules prohibiting a	and comprehensive DFV
		telco from requiring a	response that fits their siz
		consumer to	structure and way of
		communicate with or	operating. This must be
		disclose information	supported by appropric
		about a perpetrator of	training. A flexible
		DFV against them as	response is necessary;
		part of dealing with an	there is not a 'one-size-fi
		enquiry	all' solution; the safe and
			appropriate response
		F) rules specifying that if	can vary depending or
		a telco cannot	the consumer's
		comply with a	circumstances.
		contractual obligation	
		because of its	Note that it is never
		obligations to a	appropriate for a CSP to
		consumer under the	require that a consume
		DFV protections, it is	be required to involve
		not in breach of its	their alleged perpetrato
		contract.	in any discussion. Such
			actions could put lives c
			risk.

Domest	Domestic and Family Violence					
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed	
ACCAN	Proposal – protection from disconnection Introduce a requirement to protect consumers affected by DFV from being disconnected. Sample drafting Chapter 9: Credit management, debt management and disconnection (TBC) 1.Once a CSP becomes aware a consumer is affected by DFV, it must protect the affected person's service(s) from disconnection.	ACCAN supports this drafting in principle, however, considers that it should be amended to further protect consumers.	ACCAN considers this drafting should be amended to: 1.Once a CSP becomes aware that a consumer is experience DFV, it must ensure that the affected person(s) service is not disconnected. Additionally, consumers affected by DFV should not be subject to credit management action, debt collection or have their debt sold to a debt collection agency. ACCAN would support further detail on requirements to have processes in placed to prevent the inadvertent disconnection of consumers affected by DFV.	comment and have added	guidance note. Guidance – protecting an	

Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
		drafting (if applicable)		addressedbe occasions where the choose to disconnect to service. There may also be times when it is otherwise necessary to disconnect their service to prevent or reduce
				number with friends, family and support services. CSPs should have processes in plac to ensure the service of DFV-affected end user not disconnected, interrupted, or ported while the CSP is managing a rights of u dispute under the C56

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
			drafting (if applicable)		addressed
					Use of Numbers by
					Customers Industry Code
					For further guidance see
					G660 Assisting Consumer
					Affected by Domestic
					and Family Violence
					Industry Guideline.
10	Dran and shave to discourse stice				A many data diratting and
0	Proposal above re disconnection	protection for consumers		See above. Correct that there is no	Amended drafting, as above.
		from disconnection, however		prohibition on disconnection.	dbove.
		we would like additional		Intent is more in line with the	
		clarity on this protection.		FH standard intent.	
		There is no prohibition on		Amended wording has been	
		disconnection, and it is		included, as above.	
		unclear what 'protect		included, ds dbove.	
		consumers affected by DFV			
		from being disconnected'			
		may mean in practice. The			
		guidance suggests			
		disconnection protection			
		may include mirroring			
		protections or safeguards for			
		financial hardship customers.			
CCAN	Proposal - staff training	This drafting should reflect the	ACCAN considers that	To ensure a comprehensive,	Guidance now included
	Introduce a requirement for staff	severity of DFV as a pervasive	1.a. and 2 should be	telco and individual	
	training on identification,	social issue.	amended to:	company-appropriate	Guidance - staff training
	support, and safety			response that delivers the	It is strongly
	considerations for consumers	ACCAN's further	Domestic and Family	desired outcomes, CSP's	recommended that RSP
	affected by DFV.	recommendations are	Violence, including	need to bring together	work with a reputable
	Staff training must:	detailed in our DFV policy	training that includes	internal and external	training provider with DF
	• at an appropriate level for the		the identification,	expertise.	expertise to develop an
	staff member role, with	https://accan.org.au/accans-	support and safety		review DFV staff training
	requirements for refresher	work/policy- positions/2253-	requirements for	We recognise that for a lot of	This will allow material to
			consumers affected or	ž	reflect both current bes

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	frontline customer service staff, specialist training staff and staff working in areas likely to deal with DFV-related issues (e.g. sales, credit collections, financial	domestic-and-family- violence. ACCAN considers that training requirements for staff dealing directly with consumers affected by or likely to be affected by DFV should be more stringent. ACCAN considers that the sample drafting in Chapter 4 should be amended to:	likely to be affected by DFV. 2.Company wide staff training must occur;	CSPs, external input will be a valuable part of this. However, recognising the above, it is appropriate to focus on outcomes, rather than prescribing how it might be achieved. However, to make this clear, we have included some guidance from the DFV Guideline in the TCP Code drafting. in relation to the comments on stringency, we have added detail to the drafting to include key elements that must be included in the training.	practice on DFV-specifi issues and the RSP's company-specific need (e.g. to support the RSP policy and supporting materials). A list of DFV training providers is found in Appendix 3: Training resources for RSPs. Detail added: <u>Chapter 3 –</u> <u>Organisational Culture</u> <u>and Governance: Staff</u> <u>training – company-wide</u> <u>fnew1</u> 1.CSPs must provide company-wide staff training* to support compliance with Co- obligations, including a. Domestic and Family Violence. When developing this training, CSPs must have regard to, the Industry Guideline G660: Assisting Consume Affected by Domestic and Family Violence. It must include: i. information to help staff understand (at a high level) the nature and

org. Issue	Comment	Suggested change to DC Comm drafting (if applicable)	hent How it has been addressed
			impact of DFV, and how to recognise common forms DFV associated with a telecommunica ns service; ii. Instruction on ha to manage and respond to DFV- associated issue at a basic level (including how th safely escalate matters and how and where to se support). 2.Company-wide staff training must occur: a. at induction; an b. as an annual refresher.
			Guidance – company- wide training Company-wide training intended to provide stat in any role that can reasonably influence customer outcomes wit a broad understanding DFV and common presentations in the telecommunications space, thereby reducin the chance that a product or service may unintentionally be designed or presented

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		addressed a way that could lead to harm to those in DFV situations. For example, understanding that certain features may be used by a DFV perpetrator to monitor their victim may lead to staff designing a service differently or marketing and selling it differently. For clarity, it is not expected that those in roles with no association or influence over customer outcomes receive DFV training (office cleaners, building maintenance staff, or administrative or other roles with no influence of customer outcomes).
IO	The sample drafting differentiates between company-wide training and specialist staff training, and both propose training at the time of induction and as an annual refresher. However, the sample drafting appears to allow a level of discretion for telcos not to provide DFV training, as it contains the qualifying language '(where relevant)'. It is unclear how this would apply in practice			The 'where relevant' here is to ensure that there isn't an obligation to train staff such as cleaners, or building contractors, about DFV. We have added a note to provide clarity on this point.	Note added for clarification.
ACCAN	Chapter 4 - Supporting the Customer: Staff training – specialist staff	As above, ACCAN supports more frequent staff training refreshers.	ACCAN considers that 2.b. should be amended to: 2b. as a	(Repeat point, covered above)	(Repeat point, covered above)

)rg.	tic and Family Violence	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
- g.			drafting (if applicable)		addressed
	1.Company-wide training must		half-yearly refresher.		
	be supplemented by				
	appropriately tailored training				
	for specialist staff to suit their				
	specific roles and				
	responsibilities (where				
	relevant). This includes:				
	a. training on DFV for:				
	i. frontline customer service				
	staff;				
	ii. specialist DFV staff;				
	iii. staff working in areas likely				
	to deal with DFV-related				
	issues. This includes staff in				
	sales, credit collections,				
	financial hardship, fraud, privacy, and escalated				
	complaints management				
	roles.				
	2.Specialist staff training must				
	occur:				
	a. at induction to a role; and				
	b. as an annual refresher.				
CAI	Proposal - contract requirements	ACCAN supports the sample			no action necessary -
	CSP's customer contracts	drafting in principle. ACCAN			proposal supported
	(SFOA/T&Cs) for a service must	considers that the ability of			
	include a provision to ensure	CSPs to do this should be			
	sufficient arrangements to	made clear to customers			
	enable termination and	through the provision of the			
	disassociation of mobile	customer contract.			
	number(s) from an account				
	where the end-user has been				
	affected by DFV from the				
	customer.				
	Sample draffing				
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:				
	Sales, contracts and credit				
	assessments: SFOA/T&Cs				
	<u>requirements</u>				

Drg.	Issue	Comment Suggested change to		DC Comment	How it has been
Jig.	15500		drafting (if applicable)		addressed
	1.CSPs must provide customers				
	with a customer contract				
	that includes:				
	a. terms and conditions				
	that outline the ability of				
	the CSP to disconnect a				
	number and remove the				
	rights of use from a				
	customer and issue that				
	number to an				
	authenticated former end				
	user of the account where				
	the end-user has been				
	affected by DFV;				
	Add Guidance Box - referencing				
	G660 DFV Guideline and C566				
	Use of Numbers Code on rights				
	of use of numbers.				
10	(proposal above) We are				no action necessary -
	supportive of the requirement for				proposal supported
	telcos to include contractual				
	provisions to enable the				
	termination/disassociation of				
	mobile numbers from an				
	account where the end-user has				
	been affected by DFV				
	perpetrated by the customer.				
	Proposal - access to support and	ACCAN supports this drafting	ACCAN considers that	See below	See below
	evidence	in principle and would	Chapter 7. 2. be		
	CSPs must not require evidence	welcome further drafting.	amended to:		
	as a pre-requisite for assistance	ACCAN considers that CSPs			
	and support; the only exception	should take into account the	Where supporting		
	is where legal or regulatory	re-traumatising impact of	materials are required to		
	obligations need supporting	any request for supporting	meet regulatory or legal		
	evidence to undertake a	materials.	obligations, CSPs must		
	specific transaction (i.e., a right		only request and retain		
	of use change, which may	ACCAN considers that the	the minimum amount of		

g.	stic and Family Violence	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
•			drafting (if applicable)		addressed
	require a demonstration of	sample drafting be	information to meet their		
	ongoing connection to the	amended to reflect the re-	legal or regulatory		
	service in question).	traumatising impact of	obligations. In their		
	, ,	providing supporting	communications with		
	If supporting materials (such as a	materials.	consumers, CSPs must		
	statutory declaration or letter		take into account the re-		
	from a support service) are		traumatising impact of		
	required (e.g., during a fraud		any request for		
	investigation or when		supporting materials		
	undertaking an ROU change for		against the requirement		
	a service), CSPs should request		for the consumer to		
	only the minimum amount of		provide the materials. CSPs should ensure that		
	information to enable them to		after this data has been		
	meet their legal or regulatory		utilised to fulfill this		
	obligations.		obligation, that it is		
			securely deleted.		
	Consideration must be given to				
	the re-traumatising impact of				
	any request for supporting				
	materials. There must be no				
	requirement to provide evidence				
	of the abuse, only the				
	information relevant to the				
	consumer's request.				
	Sample drafting				
	Chapter 7 - Customer service				
	and support: Access to support				
	and evidence – DFV-affected				
	consumers				
	1.CSPs must not require				
	evidence as a pre-requisite for	·			
	assistance under the CSPs'				
	DFV policy.				
	2.Where supporting materials				
	are required to meet				
	regulatory or legal obligations,				
	CSPs must only request and				

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	retain the minimum amount of information to meet their legal or regulatory obligations. 3.A CSP must publish on its website a list of one or more external DFV support services.				
TIO	As above	We support providers not needing evidence as a pre- requisite to giving assistance and support to a consumer (except for legal/regulatory obligations).	We support the sample drafting 'A CSP must publish on its website a list of one or more external DFV support services'. This could go further by requiring proactive staff responses. e.g., staff could provide this information to consumers in tailored ways.	Proposal is supported. In terms of how the information is provided, this requirement is already proposed - it is covered by requirements to take a consumer-led, safety- first, and trauma-informed approach (see earlier comments and guidance).	covered in earlier drafting
ACCAN	Proposal - update references Inclusion of cross-references and updated guidance on relevant related instruments, such as the refreshed G660 Guideline and Use of Numbers Code. Sample drafting Chapter 1 – Terminology, definitions, and acronyms: Definition of 'domestic and family violence' 1. Domestic and family violence refers to a wide range of behaviours by a person(s) designed to create a dependency or to isolate,	ACCAN cannot adequately comment on this proposal without further drafting.		This comment is unclear. Chapter 1 is a chapter on definitions. This is the proposed definition to be included for DFV. Recognising that terminology used to identify and describe violence and abuse between intimate partners, immediate and extended family, communal and kinship relationships, and carer and guardianship arrangements is diverse, with no consistency nationwide on its scope and use, CA proposes to include both a concise definition of	This point has been made clearer in the Agreed Position Paper.

Dome	stic and Family Violence				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	monitor, dominate, or control			the term, plus a guidance	
	another person.			box for further information,	
				with even more very	
	Guidance			detailed information in the	
	The term 'domestic and family'			referred G660.	
	does not seek to limit the				
	definition to the immediate			Additionally, for absolute	
	family or the domestic home.			clarity, we propose linking	
	Abuse and violence occur within			'short' definitions with the full	
	many personal relationships –			definition in chapter one,	
	intimate partners, immediate			each time they are used.	
	and extended family, communa	1			
	and extended kinship				
	relationships, and carer and				
	guardianship arrangements.				
	'Violence' in this context consists				
	of physical violence and other				
	types of abuse that cause harm.				
	Examples of abuse include life				
	threatening communications,				
	unwelcome communications,				
	economic and financial abuse				
	and technology-facilitated				
	abuse.				
	For further guidance see G660				
	Assisting Consumers Affected by				
	Domestic and Family Violence				
	Industry Guideline				
TIO	We are supportive of updated			Support.	Noted.
	guidance on relevant				
	instruments.				

Drg.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		
CCAN	Desired outcome from TCP	At present variations in	ACCAN considers that	Noted.	No changes
	Code revision	the presentation of	standardisation of the form		
		information increase	in which information is	The purpose of this concept is	
	Consumers get clear and	information costs for	presented to consumers	to create a similar set of	
	consistent information about the	consumers, with no	across industry should be a	information that carries through	
	telecommunication product	attributable benefit but to	desired outcome from the	the sales journey - in	
	offering (telecommunication	increase market frictions	TCP Code revision.	advertising, in the CIS, in	
	S 1	and reduce search.		discussion with CSP staff and in	
		Noting the TCP Code		an order summary. It would not	
		revisions will require		be practical to define how to	
		standardisation of		present the defined set of	
		information presentation		information at each stage.	
		within CSPs, we consider			
	•in a post-sale 'confirmation of			We would also refer ACCAN to	
		standardisation should		requirements for providing	
		also be pursued due to		information to consumers under	
		the low incremental cost		the Accessibility and Customer	
		and material consumer		Service papers and the need to	
		benefits.		be able customise this	
				information to the consumers'	
00411				needs.	<u></u>
CCAN	•	ACCAN considers that		Essential information is intended	No changes
		alongside the existing list	Ŭ	to be a standard set of	
		of essential information	included as part of a	information about the product	
		about a		under consideration by/offered	
	-	telecommunication	about a telecommunication	to the customer, not the CSP. We do not want to have too	
		product being offered, other details should be		much information included in	
			product being offered:	the mandated information. As	
		included in the drafting o this provision.	- A description of the	we work through drafting, we	
	definitions, and acronyms:		payment method which	will work through whether it	
	Definition of 'essential		the consumer may utilize to	-	
	information'		purchase the product or	'payment' information' instead	
	1.Essential information means a		service (or the payment	of or as well as the other issues	
	standard set of information			in 'essential information', or	
	about a telecommunication		fees associated with this	whether this information is	
	product being offered		payment method.	better conveyed through other	
	(advertised or sold). It		- The contact details	touchpoints (which is our	
	includes:		(phone and email) of the	current working view).	

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
ng.		Commoni	drafting (if applicable)		
	a) the name of the CSP;		telecommunications		
	b) a description of the		industry ombudsman.	The additional information	
	telecommunications			requested is captured in the	
	service;			CIS, with the exception of the	
	c) the minimum periodic		services.	financial counselling and	
	cost (where calculable);			national debt helpline. The	
	d) the minimum term of the			inclusion of debt support	
	offer;			information is not relevant to	
	e) the minimum			the CIS, as the CIS is a	
	quantifiable price of the			requirement for prepaid	
	offer;			services as well as postpaid.	
	f) the minimum term of any				
	discounts or benefits			See also comments under	
	(where relevant); and			'selling policies' and 'payments'.	
	g) information on			soming policies and payments.	
	mandatory bundled				
	products included with the				
	base telecommunication				
	product (where relevant).				
	Proposal - inclusion of term in		ACCAN considers that the	Agreed, however this is	Noted, refer to Accessibi
	critical stages of the sales		drafting of this provision	captured in the Accessibility	and Customer Service
	process - 'essential information'				papers.
	is required to be provided		by:		
			• requirements for CSPs to		
	Sample Drafting		account for the needs		
	Chapter 5 - Responsible selling:		of consumers with		
	Advertising and pre-sales		accessibility		
	information: The CIS, Jupdate		requirements when		
	current cl 4.2.2(a)]		outlining or providing		
	1.At the beginning of the		essential information to		
	document, in a separate,		consumer cohorts.		
	clearly identified section,		• requirements for CSPs to		
	each CIS must outline		account for the needs		
	essential information about		of consumers from		
	the telecommunication		culturally or linguistically		
	product offered.		diverse backgrounds		
			when outlining or		
			providing essential		
			information to consumer		

	al Information				
Org.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			cohorts.		
TIO	 would include: the name of the telco a description of the telecommunications service the minimum periodic cost (where calculable) the minimum term of the offer 	required process for cancelling a service. 3. The proposed 'Essential Information' and order summary requirements will provide some important information for consumers, but will not ensure they have a comprehensive record of what they agreed with their telco.	6	Essential information is intended to be a standard set of information about the product under consideration by/offered to the customer, not the CSP. Essential information is separate from the final, customised offer and contract. It is intended that the contract is made up of three parts: 1) Order summary; 2) CIS 3) Standard Form of Agreement/Terms and Conditions. Additional context on sales issues is captured in the Responsible Selling paper.	

Essent	ial Information				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	telcos to outline the difference between special promotional offers and the base offer during the sales process. 5.An obligation for telcos to give consumers an 'order summary' after entering into a consumer contract.				
	The order summary must contain: - the name of the telco - the contact details of the telco - the expiry date of the fixed				
	 term contract (if applicable) information about any special promotions, including discounts or bonus entitlements and the expiry date of the special promotion, and A link to the CIS 				

Langua	ges and Translation Services				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCAN	Proposal – requirement to publish information about interpreter/ translation services A new obligation will be included in the Code to require that all CSPs provide information to consumers about translation services and support. Sample Drafting Chapter 4 - Supporting the Customer [new chapter; new clauses] 1.CSPs must provide: a. the contact details of a translating and interpreting service in at least 5 'community languages'; and b. contact details for the National Relay Service.		ACCAN considers that CSPs must provide the contact details of a reputable, accessible, and free translating and interpreting service in at least 10 community languages in addition to the contact details for the national relay service. In addition, ACCAN considers that there be a requirement to provide translating an interpreting services for community languages where a CSP engages in active marketing or advertising to a community in language to align with other proposed provisions in this paper.	We think ACCAN has misunderstood the proposal - this is just what's next to the phone number'. The actual number of languages available is not restricted in the same way. In relation to the suggestion that the service be provided free (to the consumer), we have accepted this recommendation and amended drafting accordingly. The DC has mirrored these grequirements on the energy sector, and indeed strengthened them to require at least 5 community language (number is not specified for energy). We do not think a requirement over and above a declared essential service is reasonable It is an arbitrary number and will take up a lot of space. Re the second comment, this is already covered in the proposal/drafting for chapter 4, 1b.	Drafting change to require that consumers can access translation services at no cost (to the consumer): 1.CSPs must provide at no cost to the Consumer: a. the contact details of a translating and interpreting services in at least 5 'community languages'; and b. contact details for the National Relay Service.

	ages and Translation Servic				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCA	 N Proposal – See above. Sample Drafting Chapter 4 - Supporting th Customer [new chapter; clauses] 2.Contact details that n requirement: are to be displayed part of the contact information on the C website; with any Bill; and c. on the CIS 	<u>; new</u> neet this ed as	ACCAN considers that the drafting should require CSPs to clearly place these contact details, or hyperlink to these contact details on their websites home page to ensure consumers have easy access to translation services. These details should be replicated in an essential information documentation.	rightly consider them a security risk. The proposal is to include details on documentation relating to key touchpoints	No change required
ACCA	c. on the CIS N Proposal – see above. Sample Drafting Chapter 5 - Responsible : Advertising and pre-sale: information: The CIS [new clause] 1.In the body of the door each CIS must contain following elements: a. information on translation services, displayed in at least community languages; b. [];	s v cument, n the	ACCAN considers that CSPs must provide the contact details of a reputable, accessible and free translating and interpreting service in at least 10 community languages in addition to the contact details for the national relay service. ACCAN would welcome further drafting on this provision.	See above. This drafting needs to be read in the context of the whole - we're showing how the requirements are included in various areas of the Code, as it makes sense with the code structure and customer journey.	No change required
ACCA	N Proposal – expand existin obligations for targeted advertising Where a CSP has targete advertising in a language than English: • essential information*	would welcome clarification on the ed nature of reasonable e other assistance in this claus ACCAN queries how	e.	The guidance box will provide guidance on reasonable assistance. We have not yet drafted it, but the guidance will be along the lines:	(Make note of the kind of thing that the guidance box will cover?)

Langua	ges and Translation Services				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	be provided in that language, and at no cost to the consumer, reasonable assistance must be provided in that language (e.g. support for sales, billing enquiries, credit management).*defined term. Refer to other position paper essential information. Sample Drafting Chapter 4 - Supporting the Consumer [new chapter; new clauses] 1.Where a CSP has targeted advertising in a language other than English: a. essential information and b. reasonable assistance must be provided in that language (e.g. support for sales, billing enquiries, credit management), at no cost to the consumer. Guidance – Targeted			'reasonable assistance' means assisting the customer with their translation needs to help them understand the key features and obligations of the sale, billing etc. in practice, this might mean a customer service representative speaking the language, or referral to the free translation service'.	
TIO	Advertising 1.A requirement for telcos to	1.We are generally	We will need to see fuller	Reasonable assistance - see	Happy to discuss further.
	publish information about interpreter/translation services. Under this requirement all providers would be obliged to provide contact details for a translating and interpreting service in at least five 'community languages', as well as the contact details of	supportive of these proposals but want to see the drafting in context before we provide final comment. 1a. The Code could benefit from providing more	drafting in context before commenting further.	comments above. Re prescriptive guidance: if the TIO is able to provide definitive advice on which 5 languages to include, we would be happy to include this detail. The intention was to mirror	

Langua	anguages and Translation Services						
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed		
	the National Relay Service. 2. This information would need to be displayed as part of the telco's contact info on its website, on bills, and on CISs. 3. A further requirement that where a telco has targeted advertising in a language other than English, both the defined 'Essential Information' and 'reasonable assistance' must be provided in that language.	languages' are, as the current proposal only provides guidance about what information telcos may consider		energy and to note that the 5 languages may be different in different areas of Australia.			
		USES.					
ACCAN	a First Nation's central resource	ACCAN supports this proposal in principle and welcomes drafting to	-	(PW) We already have plain- English requirements in the Code. This recognises not only	We are open to doing more but need govt assistance to do that		
	We would like to be able to point to a central resource for First Nation's people – for information about various First Nation languages and potentially other information that could be used for cultural awareness training, etc. Our understanding is that such a resource is not currently available. We have, therefore,	reflect it. However, ACCAN does not consider that this proposal is sufficient to ensure that FN consumers have access to relevant information. ACCAN notes that the scope of the FNDIAG extends to providing advice to government on FN policy regarding	met with FN consumers, we consider specific requirements are needed to ensure FN consumers receive information in language, or at a minimum in easy-English to support	that the literacy rate of consumers isn't always high, even where English is their first language, but also that plain- English is good for everyone, including those with EASL. We would welcome DITRDCA's assistance to get a response to our October letter to FNDIAG, as we would	we could look in to working with gov to add a section to the moneysmart website section targeting indigenous users - https://moneysmart.gov.au /indigenous - by developing a tip sheet with basic information. Looking at what's there for banks though, it's totally generic. not sure it adds much		

Langue	ages and Translation Services				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	might consider developing) such a resource. At the time of writing, no response has yet been received.	FNDIAG is not resourced to ACCAN's knowledge to develop materials for industry, and we consider requirements to develop material should be set out in the Code to provide for fit-for- purpose materials for FN consumers, with appropriate engagement with FN consumer representatives.		that they develop materials for industry per se. We further note that government itself is struggling with how to best manage interpretation issues for First Nations people, with the demand for them outstripping supply across Australia. (ref: https://www.niaa.gov.au/sites /default/files/publications/pro tocol-indigenous-language- interpreting.pdf)The plain-English approach, combined with the customer's	
				right to use an Advocate or Authorised Rep would, therefore, appear to be a reasonable first step, pending specific, constructive advice on what else can be realistically done in this space	

Mobile I	Aobile Network Coverage						
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed		
ACCAN	Proposed Approach	ACCAN considers that	ACCAN considers that this	The AMTA agreed definitions			
	Provide guidance on coverage	what constitutes	sample drafting include	and descriptions for coverage			
	and update 4.3.1	'generally available'	requirements on CSPs to	levels achieve this outcome ie.			
		should be clearly	include links to coverage	They are plain English			
	Sample Drafting	defined, as current	maps. These links may be	descriptions of coverage, and			
		,	present on the provider's	are consistently used by the 3			
	Advertising and pre-sales	across industry in	website and should be	mobile network providers. The			
	information: Advertising [update		clearly able to be	3 levels being outdoor, indoor,			
	<u>cl 4.1.2]</u>	availability of coverage.	accessed by the consumer	and with antenna. We can			
	1.When advertising	ACCAN notes that while	through relevant hyperlinks.	consider requiring CSPs to link			
	telecommunications	engineering models may		to coverage maps?			
	products, CSPs must ensure:	differ - in practice,	'Generally Available'				
	a. If claiming a network	consumers want	should be clearly defined.				
	coverage area in Australia,	information on what can					
	that the network coverage	be reasonably be					
	is generally available for	expected to be available					
	consumers in the claimed	with a high degree of					
	coverage area;	confidence 90-95%. While					
	b. claims regarding	supportive of efforts on					
	broadband speeds,	the part of AMTA to					
	network coverage and	provide increased clarity					
	other performance	as to what coverage is					
	characteristics of a	available CSPs should be					
	telecommunications	required to provide					
	product are fair and	meaningful, plain English					
	accurate;	descriptions of the					
	c. for mobile network	relevant coverage that is					
	coverage, display the	available to consumers.					
	underlying wholesale						
	provider						
ACCAN		ACCAN supports this		Noted	n/a		
	include information about	drafting in principle. We					
	expected mobile coverage	consider that providers					
		should be proactive in					
	As part of the updates to the	communicating to					
	responsible selling clauses,	consumers the					
	clarity on an obligation	expectations they should					
	during the sale of a mobile	have regarding the					
	service to:	coverage of their					

Org.	Network Coverage	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
Jig.			drafting (if applicable)		
	 check the critical locations for usage of the service (such as home and work); or direct consumers to the CSP's coverage map to review the likely performance of the service at this location. 	proactively ensure that s their consumers aware of	f		
ACCAN	Remedies in relation to mobile network coverage Where a consumer is signed to an ongoing contract for a mobile service, and mobile network coverage does not allow for adequate service usage as agreed at point of sale, CSPs will be required to have processes in place to enable the consumer to exit their contract with no early exit fees.	ACCAN supports this in principle and would welcome sample drafting being made available.	3	Noted and the DC will look at drafting in next phase, noting this was not a key area of focus identified by the ACMA; and the ACCC in RC meetings also noted that they did not see it as a current problem area, but DC happy to revisit in next phase of drafting.	n/a
ACCAN	Remedies in relation to mobile network coverage The CSP will also be required to have processes for the management of any associated bundled telecommunications products where a service is cancelled due to coverage issues. This obligation must be flexible with recommended remedies, to	ACCAN considers that any remedies should be consumer focused, noting that the consumer is likely to suffer greater losses due to the inconvenience experienced by a purchased service not allowing for adequate service in a particular location and return the		Noted.	
Mobile	Network Coverage				
--------	---	--	--	--	---------------------------
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	 prevent an unnecessary regulatory burden for smaller CSPs. Recommended remedies may include options to return an associated bundled telecommunication good, pay any outstanding cost in full, support financial hardship issues for any balance owed, or ways to maintain discounts for bundled telecommunication service if the base service is disconnected. A new clause will be developed and included in the new Sales chapter. 	should not be required to pay any outstanding costs in full.			
TIO	 1.Updates to the Code to require telcos (when advertising their mobile networks) to be specific about which mobile network (Telstra, Optus or Vodafone) their mobile service products are associated with and provide consumers with coverage map information relevant to their offer. 2.Pending AMTA's agreement, a guidance note on coverage that explains a current agreement between Telstra, Optus, and Vodafone to align their use of terminology associated with three levels of mobile coverage (indoor coverage, outdoor coverage, and external antenna), and 	 We support the requirements relating to advertising of mobile services in principle. The proposed sales process requirements should be strengthened. As drafted, it appears a telco could discharge its obligation by directing a consumer to check their coverage map. The reality of sales environments is that most consumers would not have the opportunity to do this while engaging in the sales process. 	1.Change the obligation to provide coverage information during sales conversations, so telco staff are required to check the coverage maps themselves and pass this information to the consumer.	The proposed obligation is for telcos to check critical locations. DC considers it should also be an option for a consumer to check coverage maps themselves as they may not be comfortable providing location information to the salesperson or would simply prefer to view and confirm the information themselves.	

Mobile N	letwork Coverage				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	 directs CSPs to the AMTA coverage map resource. 3.A sales process requirement for telcos to check the critical locations where a consumer will be using their mobile service (home, work etc.), or direct the consumer to the telco's coverage map to review the likely performance of the service at the relevant location. 4.An explicit requirement for telcos to have processes in place for consumers to exit contracts without paying termination fees if network coverage does not allow for adequate service usage as agreed at point of sale. This would include a requirement to have processes for management of any bundled telecommunications products (like handsets). 	coverage is poor without seeing the drafting of the provision in context.			

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	Desire d Oute area		drafting (if applicable)		
ACCAN	Desired Outcome	<u>Re the bolded</u>		Noted and the DC	n/a
		paragraph: ACCAN		acknowledges ACCAN's view.	
	Residential consumers can	considers that this		The DC has taken the view that	
		outcome does not reflect		the focus should be on	
	the telecommunications	consumer preferences to		supporting competition in the	
	•	have access to a variety		market (supporting choice)	
	their needs and wants in relation			and setting minimum standards	
	to price, inclusions,	options consistent with a		in terms of ensuring that there is	
	convenience, available	competitive market. The		appropriate flexibility for	
		removal of payment		consumers for payment.	
	payment options available.	options by major market			
		participants has resulted			
	e .	in markedly less consume	r		
	offerings in the market, including				
	a choice of payment options.	options. ACCAN			
		considers that minimum			
	Costs for CSPs and consumers	requirements for			
	do not rise because of inflexible	payments are an			
	rules that are inappropriate for	appropriate protection			
	the target market.	noting the issues			
		identified with respect to			
	CSP and consumer exposure	direct debit.			
	and risk of debt is minimised.				
ACCAN	Proposal – new requirements	ACCAN considers that		The inclusion of payment	include requirement for
	designed to require that	alongside the details of		options in the CIS is a new	any payment fee
	payment method options are	the payment options		proposed CIS requirement. We	
	clearly disclosed	provided in this section,		can include a requirement for	via a link from CIS
		the drafting of this code		that to link to further	
		provision should include		information, including about	
	consumers to quickly identify	the details related to the		any fees that might apply to a	
	whether a telecommunications	relevant fees that a		payment method.	
	service includes a payment	consumer may			
		experience when utilising			
		a payment method.			
	Note: there will also be				
	provisions in the Code to ensure				
	consumers are informed, before				
	sale, of the terms and conditions				
	of the offering, including				

Org.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
	payment terms and conditions				
	and relevant information about				
	associated bundled products.				
	Sample drafting				
	Chapter 5- Responsible selling:				
	Advertising and pre-sales				
	information: The CIS [new				
	<u>clause]</u>				
	1.In the body of the document,				
	each CIS must contain the				
	following elements:				
	a. details of payment				
	options;				
	b. [];				
CCA	N Proposal – see above	ACCAN considers these		We note that the proposed	re-draft to make the first
	•••••	provisions are insufficient		new rules include a	option mandatory.
	Sample drafting	to provide appropriate		requirement that customers be	
	Chapter 8 - Billing and	protections for vulnerable	9	enabled to make a manual	
	payments: Flexible payments	consumers and that at a		payment if the event of a failed	4
	(new clause)	minimum an alternative		direct debit. This would allow a	
	1.A CSP must offer reasonable	payment option must be		customer to make a payment	
	payment flexibility at no cost	provided to allow		by a methods such as credit	
	to customers.	consumers to make		card, BPay or similar.	
	This means that:	payment and ensure		We note that the proposed	
	1.where direct debit is the	continuity of service in		new rules include a	
	only payment option	the event of a failure of		requirement that customers be	
	offered, it must be free	direct debit. ACCAN		enabled to make a manual	
	and provide a minimum	notes that alternative		payment if the event of a failed	4
	level of flexibility and	payment options such as		direct debit. This would allow a	
	control to the customer, by			customer to make a payment	
	allowing a customer to:	materially reduce the risk		by a methods such as credit	
	i. nominate a direct debit			card, BPay or similar. We note	
	date, or	non-payment.		the concern around the three	
	ii. choose a payment			options and propose to redraft	
	frequency option (e.g.	ACCAN considers that at		so that nominated a direct	
	fortnightly or monthly), or			debit date is mandatory, with	
	iii.reasonably defer a	should be offered		the other two options	
	payment, without	another fee free		remaining as options.	

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	penalty. 2.where direct debit flexibility cannot be offered, that at least two free payment options must be offered, where at least one of those payment options is not direct debit or equivalent				
		1 (a) (ii) should be amended to: choose a payment frequency option (e.g. fortnightly or monthly), and			
		ACCAN considers that a minimum requirement of only one out of the 3 subsections of 1 (a) is not sufficient in providing flexibility to consumers.			
ACCAN	them to move funds or make similar arrangements; at least 3-	ACCAN considers that 10 business days is a reasonable time period		DC notes that the Financial Hardship Standard includes a requirement for payment deferral and proposes that the Code aligns with the Standard for consistency.	align with Standard

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	considered reasonable.	not meaningfully disrupt	drafting (if applicable)		
		the existing monthly			
	It would be reasonable for a	billing cycle of many			
	payments or other change to	providers. ACCAN			
	the billing arrangement more	considers that the DC			
	than once, but it is not	should provide additiona	1		
	expected that a customer be	clarity on the amount of			
	able to defer payments	deferred payments			
	regularly	accepted by providers in	1		
		the TCP Code.			
	Guidance: reminder	ACCAN considers that		support proposal - no change	n/a
	notifications	CSPs must allow		required.	
	Sufficient time will vary	customers to opt out of			
		notifications. Additionally	,		
	arrangement; debits to a credit				
	card can generally be adjusted				
	more quickly than those direct	in the Code which			
		requires that providers			
	may require as much as 5	engage with consumers on their preferred			
	working days' notice.	method of direct debit			
	Notification mechanism is not	notification (preferably a	+		
	prescribed; CSPs have the	the outset of the contrac			
	flexibility to decide how to	or the service provision).			
	appropriately reach their				
	customers. Additionally, CSPs				
	may choose to allow customers				
	to opt-out of notifications if they				
	choose				
ACCAN	Proposal – new requirement	ACCAN considers that		The requirement to	n/a
	relating to failed Direct Debits	this provision of the		communicate with a customer	•
	A new requirement would be	sample drafting should		via their chosen method is	
	included in the Code around	be amended to require		covered in another clause in	
	failed Direct Debits.	that providers		the Code. We note that there	
		communicate with the		are times where their	
	Sample drafting	consumer in their chosen		preference is over-ridden (e.g.	
	1.CSPs must:	method of		if the CSP is not sure that the	
	a. promptly notify a	communication.		message has been successfully	/

Org.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
	customer if a direct debit fails, and			delivered)	
ACCAN	Proposal - see above Sample drafting 1.CSPs must: b. provide reasonable opportunity (3-4 working days) for the Customer to make funds to be available, change debit arrangements or make a payment by another method (without charge);	ACCAN considers that the DC should extend this reasonable opportunity to 10 business days. [where DD has failed, the proposal is to allow 3-4 days before retrying, ACCAN wants 10 days]		The DC considers that 3-4 days is reasonable, given that the customer will be able to request a payment extension as noted above and that the customer can also indicate Financial Hardship which under the new Standard will also mean they can request a payment extension or seek another form of financial assistance. DC believes 3-4 days is a reasonable timeframe for a CSP to retry the DD when there are no financial hardship circumstances, noting that at this point, the customer's payment is already overdue.	
Ϋ́CCAΝ	If the amount of a Direct Debit is incorrect, the CSP must either ensure that the Customer, receives a full and timely refund of any excess amount paid or undertake other appropriate action agreed with the Customer. Guidance: other appropriate action as agreed with the customer. This might include, for example, credit applied to the account; extra data; gift card.	the code should require that when offering other		Agree with ACCAN. This was not the intention. We have changed drafting/wording of the guidance so that the customer is always advised of their options for a refund. While a customer may prefer to receive a refund another way, this is never in place of their right to a refund.	re-draft guidance note (and rule if needed) for clarity
10	1.Requirement to include information about any applicable mandatory	1.We acknowledge the Drafting Committee's effort to draft		DC acknowledges that RC would prefer to have certain payment methods mandated	n/a

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
Olg.	15506	Commeni	drafting (if applicable)	De comment	now it has been addressed
	 payment method in a product's CIS. 2. A new requirement for telcos to provide flexibility for customers where they only offer direct debit as a payment option. The flexibility must be at no cost to customers and must include allowing the customer to nominate a direct debit date, or choose a payment frequency option, or reasonably defer a payment without penalty. 3. Where a telco cannot offer direct debit flexibility, it must offer at least two free payment options, where at least one of the options is not direct debit or equivalent. 4. New requirements to be inserted into clause 5.7.1, obliging telcos to 'provide a reminder notice [about an upcoming direct debit payment] in sufficient time for the consumer to make alternative arrangements for payment action if required'. 5. Updated requirement for telcos to ensure a direct debit occurs. This replaces an existing requirement for telcos (subject to some exceptions) to give a customer at least 10 working days' notice to 	unsuitable for some consumers. 3. For this reason, and to reflect the essential nature of telco services, consumers should always have the choice of paying via a free, non- automatic method. 4. We would like to see clearer drafting around what the obligation to allow consumers to access charging information requires. It appears there are no proposed timeframes for when telcos must make this available and in some circumstances, this may result in consumers having less notice about upcoming charges than under current rules.		in the Code or at least the number of payment methods, however, as noted above, the DC takes the view that consumer outcomes are better met by focusing on flexibility of payment methods and ensuring customers are informed and have choice of offerings in the market. We also acknowledge that because we focused on the key area of payments (as directed by the ACMA) we have not yet fully considered the remainder of the billing chapter of the existing code. However, our initial analysis of the current billing requirements is that there would not be significant changes and that we would refresh and update the rules as per the outcomes and expectations for this chapter.	

Org.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	check a bill or applicable charges before a direct debit occurs.				
ΠΟ	 1.The proposals appear to be similar to the current clause 5.7.1(g) of the TCP Code for telcos to provide 'full and timely' refunds of incorrect direct debits or undertake 'other appropriate action agreed with the customer'. 2.The insertion of guidance to 	We are concerned the lack of mandatory timeframes for refunds of erroneous or unauthorised direct debits makes clause 5.7.1 (g) difficult to enforce and will not give consumers confidence they will receive timely refunds.		DC will align with the timeframe for complaints resolution (within 10 days?) for processing a refund, noting that in most cases a CSP will be able to process in a shorter timeframe but that the CSP will also be dependent on banking processing timeframes which may vary and be longer.	
ΠΟ	Chapter 8(i) "Customers have flexibility and control over their payment options." Sample Drafting Comment 2 Sample Drafting: Chapter 8 - Billing and payments: Flexible payments (new clause) 1.A CSP must offer reasonable payment flexibility at no cost	8(i) largely aligns with our position on what would protect vulnerable consumers i.e., from elderly or less technologically-literate consumers who struggle to review their charges when their telco does not issue traditional bills and instead uses online payment summaries. Comment 2: However,	should require providers to offer at least one fee-free method of payment that is not automatic nor based on direct debit.	acknowledges the TIO view on payment methods and we note that the DC takes the view that consumer outcomes are better served by ensuring there is flexibility and informed choice for consumers. It would be a fundamental change, particularly for smaller, digital only CSPs to make to their business model if the code imposed such commercial terms and conditions on CSPs. The cost of such a change would be significant. We also note that the proposed approach will also impose costs on CSPs in terms of offering	
	This means that: a. where direct debit is the	the sample drafting in Payment Method Paper does not address the		flexibility around direct debit payments.	

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
Org.	 only payment option offered, it must be free and provide a minimum level of flexibility and control to the customer, by allowing a customer to: i. nominate a direct debit date, or ii. choose a payment frequency option (e.g. fortnightly or monthly), or iii.reasonably defer a payment, without penalty. b. where direct debit flexibility cannot be offered, that at least two free payment options must be offered, where at least one of those payment options is not direct debit or equivalent. 	outcomes intended. The sample drafting appears to allow providers to offer only a single direct-debit payment option, so long as that option allows a minimum level of flexibility, such as nominating the debit date, choosing a payment frequency, or reasonably deferring payments. It only requires providers to offer alternative payment options if direct debit	drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
		review DD payments, who do not trust DD payment methods, or who otherwise require alternative payment methods.			
10	Billing - lack of a paper on Billing generally			For this December deadline, we have focused on key areas	n/a

Payme	ent Methods				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
				as directed by the ACMA. We have therefore focused on payments not billing at this point. See above (row 10) for fuller explanation.	
TIO	Proposal - new/extended requirements around notifications to remind consumers of impending direct debits. Sample Drafting Chapter 8 – Biling and payments: Direct debit payments: Direct debit payments: Updated and extended cl. 5.7.1) 1.A CSP offering direct debit as a payment facility for a Telecommunications Service must not charge a fee for the option of direct debit and: a. must ensure they have the Customer's authorisation; b. must ensure that the Customer can access charging information before the Direct Debit occurs (updated; new guidance); c. must ensure that the Customer can readily verify that its Direct Debit arrangements are in accordance with their authorisation; d. [new] must provide a reminder notice in sufficient time for the Customer to make	vulnerable consumers who may not have the	should require providers to offer at least one fee-free method of payment that is not automatic nor based on direct debit.	DC acknowledges the TIO's views and notes the DC view outlined above. While we take the point that charging information via an app is less familiar to some customers, we also note that it is not too different to being emailed an invoice, however it is much more secure than email (and even traditional mail) and enables customers who are concerned about scams to trust the information. We note that customers who have low technical literacy are also a cohort particularly vulnerable to scams. We also note that with upfront plans the amount the customer pays each month/fortnight is the same as charges are not variable, avoiding 'bill shock', limiting risk and building trust for the customer around their charging information.	n/a

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
C			drafting (if applicable)		
	alternative arrangements				
	for payment action if				
	required;				
	e. must ensure that a				
	Customer, can readily				
	cancel a direct debit				
	authorisation through a				
	simple mechanism; and				
	f. must cancel the				
	customer's Direct Debit				
	within 3 Working Days of				
	receipt of the Customer's				
1	cancellation request.				
I	Guidance Accessing charging				
	information:				
	E.g. make this available through	n			
	an app, online, or automated				
L	balance phone service.				

Respons	ible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCAN	inappropriate sales of telecommunications products. Information provided to consumers at point of sale does not always include all the key information relating to the	ACCAN considers that this framing of the problem does not appropriately capture all aspects of the problem faced by consumers. While information problems are an issue faced by consumer, mis- selling of products and services to consumers is a function of predatory sales practices due to conflicted incentives.		consider removing point 3 of the identified consumer problem re: over-the-phone sales. Believe this was raised by CALC, who is not engaging in the TCP code revision process. Key focus is on responsible incentives for sales representatives that do not promote inappropriate sales.	added to position paper: "Further consumer safeguards can be put in place to disincentivise instances of mis-selling, by promoting responsible selling practices, responsible incentive structures, and remedies for consumers."
	Further, unsolicited over-the- phone sales can contribute to financial hardship, particularly for vulnerable consumers.				
ACCAN	Consumers receive all essential information at point of sale and the sale of inappropriate	ACCAN considers that this outcome needs to be revised to reflect that consumers should be supported to purchase products and services that reflect their preferences. ACCAN		Can update to include this objective	<u>Reworded Desired</u> <u>Outcome to:</u> "Consumers receive all essential information at point of sale and telco staff can identify and discuss appropriate products for consumers' needs.
	support consumers to understand the product they are purchasing.	considers that the outcome does not reflect the need to protect consumers from mis- selling and predatory sales practices, which these code provisions should seek to achieve.			The sale of inappropriate telecommunications products is reduced by strengthening responsible selling obligations, training for representatives and responsible incentive structures. "
ACCAN	Proposal – updated/clearer information-provision requirements	ACCAN considers that due to the lack of drafting in this provision,		The DC acknowledges ACCAN's concerns in relation to communicating with	Communication with vulnerable consumers is addressed in a separate

Respon	sible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	New and updated clauses (and guidance) will make expectations and rules clearer. Sample drafting Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Point of Sale, [update current cl 4.5.1(b)] 1.During the sales process, a CSP must ensure: a. [] b. it clearly explains the essential information of the telecommunications product the consumer is purchasing;	comment on the nature of critical consumer protections in this section of the position paper. ACCAN would seek more clarification from the DC on the nature of clear communication of essential information. What requirements exist in this section for		vulnerable customers. Requirements for communication with vulnerable customers exist in a separate chapter of the Code, with the intention that they apply to the code in its entirety, to limit repetition. This will be more apparent in the complete paper. Requirements include training, organisational culture, accessibility, etc.	
ACCAN	 Proposal - see above Sample drafting Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Point of Sale, [update current cl 4.5.1(b)] 1.CSPs must provide a customer with an order summary after entering into a customer contract with the consumer. 	"CSPs must provide a customer with an order summary after entering into a customer contract with the consumer." ACCAN considers that customers must be provided with an order		The intent of the Order Summary is to provide a post- sale summary after the order is completed, with final details confirmed. Requirements for the provision of information prior to sale already exist and have been strengthened further with the introduction of the 'essential information' concept.	

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
C			drafting (if applicable)		
		contract. ACCAN			
		considers that more			
		requirements should be			
		inserted into this section			
		related to			
		communicating order			
		summaries to consumers			
		in multiple accessible			
		formats.			
ACCAN	Proposal - see above	ACCAN considers that		The Order Summary is intended	
	Sample drafting	the order summary should	1	to be an easily readable	
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	contain the contact		summary of the purchase.	
	Sales, contracts and credit	details of the		Adding additional details may	
	assessments: Point of Sale,	telecommunications		distract from the key info. The	
	[update current cl 4.5.1(b)]	industry ombudsman, the		DC considers that the TIO/debt	
	2.The order summary must	national debt helpline		helpline contact details are	
	contain:	and relevant financial		better provided at different	
	a. the name of the CSP;	counselling services.		touchpoints. Further, this	r
	b. the contact details of			obligation applies to all types o	ſ
	the CSP;			service, even those that don't	
	c. the expiry date of the			risk a debt - so telling customers	
	fixed term contract (if			about a debt helpline would	
	applicable);			be confusing.	
	d. information about any				
	special promotions,				
	including: i. discounts or bonus				
	entitlements; and				
	ii. the expiry date of the				
	special promotion; and				
	e. a link to the CIS.				
CCAN	Proposal – Remedies for mis-	ACCAN considers that		Cooling off periods are	
	selling	the draft code should		appropriate for unsolicited	
	The responsible approach to	include a cooling-off		sales and there are economy-	
	selling requirements will be	period of one billing		wide rules associated with this.	
	updated to include more	cycle covering contracts		Such a proposal would only	
	detailed examples of actions a	valued at over \$1,000		appear to be relevant to a	
	CSP may take to address mis-	dollars. ACCAN considers		device purchase. Where there	
	selling.	this period reasonable		is a problem with the device	

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	Recommended remedies may include:• returning the customer to the position they were in prior to the mis-selling of the telecommunications product• terminating a customer's contract without charge• providing a credit amount to the customer• waiving a debt on the customer's account• enacting a change of contract without penalty to the customer	products or services or may have entered into a payment arrangement where, in order to meet their payment		(e.g., not fit for purpose), there are protections elsewhere; or where a service is supplied without network coverage, this is covered elsewhere. The DC considers it more effective to look at remedies for mis-selling, responsible sales incentives, and other relevant protections.	
1ADD	Please see above	ACCAN considers the proposed remedies insufficient when taking into account the nature of mis-selling in telecommunications. ACCAN considers that improving the protections experienced by consumers prior to being mis-sold a telecommunications product or service of greater importance than establishing extended remedies for mis-selling. In response to the proposed remedies for mis-selling listed in this section, ACCAN considers that should instances of mis-selling be		Drafting has been updated to reflect feedback.	updated drafting and position paper proposal wording. Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Selling Policies, [new clause] 1. A CSP must take reasonable steps to correct instances of mis- selling by providing a remedy that is tailored to and is appropriate in the circumstances for the customer, including by offering at least one of the following remedies to the affected customer: a. returning the customer to the position they were in prior to the sale; or

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		
		identified, CSPs must be			b. terminating a
		mandated to take into			customer's contract
		account the harm			without charge; or
		caused by the instance			c. enacting a change of
		of mis-selling to the			contract without penalty
		consumer and it's			to the customer; or
		negative impact on the			d. any other action or
		consumer (For example,			remedy agreed with the
		financial hardship,			customer.
		distress, inability to meet			
		other payment			
		obligations).			
		ACCAN recommends the			
		DC take into account			
		that many instances of			
		mis-selling are not			
		uncovered or identified			
		until sometime after their			
		occurrence, leading to			
		the opportunity for			
		extended periods of			
		consumer harm and			
		stress. Additionally,			
		providers may be			
		distinctivised to identify			
		instances of mis-selling			
		due to the potential of			
		having to provide			
		financial remedy to			
1001		consumers.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ACCA	N Proposal – Monitoring	Comment 1: ACCAN	as above	Comment 1: There is a large	Drafting has been
	complaints about sales conduc			number of remedies available	updated in response to
	The responsible approach to	drafting of this provision		and what is most appropriate	feedback, as above.
	selling requirements relating to	should be amended to		will vary on the circumstances.	Guidance added to
	complaints monitoring will be	reflect that the remedy		Drafting changed to 'is	explain tailored remedies.
	refreshed to strengthen	MUST be tailored to and		tailored'. The DC also notes	"Cuidanaa tailarad
	requirements to proactively	appropriate to the		that additional improvements	"Guidance: tailored
	identify and act when mis-sellin	gicircumstances of the		have been made to this clause	remedies

•	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addresse
	occurs.	customer and must take		since the position paper was	A tailored remedy should
		into account the harm		provided to ACCAN, and these	
	Sample drafting	experienced by the		changes further strengthen	appropriate, the
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	customer as a result of		consumer protections.	customer's individual
	Sales, contracts and credit	mis-selling and possible			circumstances, the harm
	assessments: Selling Policies,	credit management		Comment 2: Termination of the	
	[new clause]	action taken against		customer's account as a	customer as a result of th
	1.A CSP must:	them.			mis-selling, and the
	a. monitor complaints to			the customer's agreement.	customer's preferred
	identify possible mis-selling;			Customers WANT to be	remedy, where
	and	considers that any		disconnected sometimes and	reasonable."
	b. have policies and	termination of the		should be permitted to do so.	
	supporting materials to	customers contract			
	address mis-selling and	should require that the		Telcos should not be obligated	
	sales conduct issues.	provider ensure that the		to ensure that the customer still	
		customer stays		has other telco services (even	
		connected to a		under another provider) if the	
		telecommunications		customer has agreed to that	
		service as to not impose		action as a remedy.	
		a danger on the			
		customer which may			
		arise as a result of the			
		customer experiencing			
		the lack of a			
		communications service.			
١A	Proposal - please see above	Comment 1: ACCAN		Comment 1: The DC confirms	
		considers that there		that ongoing, regular,	
	Sample drafting	should be more detailed		monitoring of complaints is	
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	requirements regarding		intended, alongside the	
	Sales, contracts and credit	the frequency of the		requirements in \$18 and 19 of	
	assessments: Selling Policies,	monitoring of complaints		the Complaints Handling	
	[new clause] on behalf of CSP	on behalf of CSPs. CSPs		Standard which already require	
	2.A CSP must:	should be directed in		this and determines a minimum	
	a. take reasonable steps to		У	timeframe.	
	correct instances of mis-	should monitor			
	selling by providing a	complaints.		Comment 2: This obligation is	
	remedy that can be			addressed in the Vulnerable	
	tailored to and is	Comment 2: Additionally	,	Customers paper.	
	appropriate in the	ACCAN considers that			

Responsi	ible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	circumstances for the customer, including by:	CSPs must ensure that sales representatives are		<u>Comment 3:</u> Further to the DC's position on Comment 1 above;	
		adequately trained to responsibility interact with		when monitoring complaints, CSPs look for patterns to try to	
	in prior to the sale;	vulnerable consumers.		work out a reason for a	
	ii. terminating a customer's			complaint rising - and then work to address the problem.	
	contract without	<u>Comment 3:</u> ACCAN considers that many		All categories of complaints are	
	charge; or	consumers are unlikely to		monitored on an ongoing basis.	
	iii.enacting a change of	complain specifically with			
	to the	regard to mis-selling and	1		
	customer; and	will likely utilise			
		terminology consistent			
		with financial hardship			
		(As mis-selling is			
		associated with			
		consumers experiencing			
		debt as a result of			
		financial hardship). Due			
		to this, ACCAN considers			
		that the DC should			
		include provisions which			
		require providers to			
		monitor consumers			
		experiencing financial			
		hardship and actively			
		investigate whether these	b		
		consumers may have			
		been mis-sold products			
		and services.			
	Proposal - Selling policies for	ACCAN considers that		The DC notes that our intent	Updated drafting:
	sales staff	claw backs on staff commissions from		was in line with ACCAN	Chapter & Personsible
	Requirements on CSPs will be			comments, and drafting has been updated to strengthen	<u>Chapter 6 - Responsible</u> <u>selling: Sales, contracts</u>
	introduced for commission or	inappropriate sales are an inappropriate solution		responsible selling obligations in	
	incentive structures to promote	to address instances of		relation to incentives. Important	
	responsible selling, including a	mis-selling. Additionally,		to note that claw backs of	structures [new]
	recommendation to claw back			commission was merely one	1.A CSP's incentive
	staff commissions from	claw backs a poor		suggested action, and was to	structures for its

Respor	esponsible Selling Practices						
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed		
	inappropriate sales. For example, responsible selling incentives may be based on customer satisfaction surveys rather than number of sales/items sold.	disincentive to mis-selling. Staff may engage in mis- selling for a period of time and due to the difficulty in genuinely identifying an instance of mis-selling, may leave the employ of the provider which inhibits their ability to claw back the commissions provided to the staff member. This may result in providers and consumers being given a false sense of security with regard to sales commission claw backs. ACCAN considers that this provision may provide for sales staff participating in mis-selling to commit instances of mis-selling in a short period of time and leaving the organization before reports of instances of mis-selling reach the provider, leading to consumer harm.	S	be included in guidance, not an enforceable clause.	representatives must promote responsible selling practices. 2.A CSP's incentive structures must not reward representatives who do not comply with responsible selling practices in Section 6.1 of this Code. Guidance also added to Position Paper: Guidance: incentive structures CSPs should consider how commission and incentive structures provided for its representatives can promote responsible, ethical selling and disincentivise mis-selling. <u>Recommendations</u> <u>include:</u> • 'Claw back' of a representative's commission where sales have been identified as inappropriate or irresponsible • Incentives may be based on customer satisfaction rather than volume of sales • A CSP should have consideration of incentives in relation to volume, and how		

Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
C			drafting (if applicable)		
					pressure to sell enough
					to meet the next 'tier' o
					'quota' of commission
					payment can
					incentivise irresponsible
					selling above what a
					customer needs.
CCAN	Proposal - see above	ACCAN considers that		as above	addressed above
		providers must design			
		sales incentive programs			
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	to avoid rewarding sales			
		representatives for up-			
		selling additional			
	<u>CSPs, [update current cl 4.5.1]</u>	unneeded products or			
		services to vulnerable			
	1.A CSP must ensure:	consumers.			
	a. []				
	b. commission and				
	incentive structures for				
	representatives promote				
	responsible selling.				
	Guidance - To provide				
	examples of how this might be				
	achieved				
CCAN	Proposal – Sales to vulnerable	ACCAN would welcome		ACCAN's proposal that a	Redrafted for clarity.
		further drafting in this		customer "should be able to	1.Where a customer has
		section. ACCAN		provide reasonable proof of	purchased a
		considers that through		vulnerability impacting them at	
		the process of cancelling		the time of sale in order to	product while affected
	where there is reasonable proof	a telecommunications		cancel the service" is the	by a vulnerability that

kespon	sible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	of vulnerability that impacted their decision-making at the time of sale. Sample draffing Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Selling Policies, Inew clause] 1. Where the customer has provided reasonable proof of vulnerability at the time of sale, the CSP must allow cancellation of the purchased telecommunications product without charge.	have to provide evidence solely at the point of sale in order to be able to cancel their		this after the fact and the CSP	impacted their decision- making at the point of sale, a CSP must allow cancellation of the purchased telecommunications product without charge, where reasonable proof of vulnerability has been provided. Guidance has been added to the Position Paper for further clarity.
TIO	Outcomes and Expectations	Would like to see the		We can update the outcomes	These will be updated in

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		
		Expectations		clarity on the intent (which is	
		strengthened so that		what the outcomes and	
		there is a greater focus		expectations do). However, it is	
		on conduct of providers		important to note that	
		at point of sale.		outcomes and expectations	
				are not enforceable provisions	
				in any case.	
TIO	Essential information	Key pieces of information		The Essential information	
		are still missing from the		concept is specifically about	
		"essential information"		the sale. Information about	
		concept. Consumers		cancelling and service	
		would benefit from		information such as payment	
		knowing the payment		methods is not appropriate	
		methods available for the		here; these are in the CIS. There	
		service, and the		are obligations about	
		provider's processes for		information provided to	
		cancelling a service.		customers about payment	
				methods elsewhere.	
TIO	Mis-selling	no clear definition of 'mis-		Noted, and we are aware of	Guidance on the meaning
		selling"			of mis-selling will be
				in the Code to explain the	drafted.
				concept of mis-selling.	
TIO	1.Update the requirements	1.These proposals do not			
	relating to the retention of	provide for the	telcos to keep	obligations in the current code	
	information about consumer		contractual information		
	contracts so telcos are	documentary	relevant to a sale for the		
	required to retain the	information for		the duration of the contract,	
	following information:	consumers, providers	plus 24 months following	plus 2 years. Further record-	
	 an order summary 	and the TIO to	contract expiry.	keeping obligations exist under	
	- the CIS, and	establish what a		consumer law and other	
	- the Standard form of	consumer agreed with		Telecommunications-specific	
	agreement (or equivalent)		include the full set of	regulations.	
		2.We are concerned the			
	1a. The 'order summary' is a	proposals do not	occurred between the		
	new document CA has	provide any	consumer and the telco)	
	proposed telcos should be	mandatory retention	as part of the sale.		
	required to give to	periods for			
	consumers after entering	documentation. This	2.The Code should		
	into a consumer contract	could lead to	contain explicit		

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	 with that consumer. The order summary must contain: the name of the telco the contact details of the telco the expiry date of the fixed term contract (if applicable) information about any special promotions, including discounts or bonus entitlements and the expiry date of the special promotion, and A link to the CIS 	significant inconsistency in approach between telcos and hamper the ability of consumers and telcos to deal with contract disputes effectively and fairly.	obligations for teleos to retain all records relevant to the sale for 24 months for marketing activities or for the		
	1b. There are no proposed timeframes for which this information must be retained.				
IO	 1.Requirements for telcos to supply 'Essential information' to consumers at various points in their relationship with their telco. 1a. The 'Essential information' would include: the name of the telco a description of the telecommunications service the minimum periodic cost 	2.Key pieces of important information have been omitted from the proposed definition of 'Essential Information', such as the payment methods offered for a service and a telco's required	to buy a telco product by incorrect or misleading information from the telco. The remedies should be modelled on those available under the ACL for breaches of its	 <u>TIO proposal 1:</u> The code does include remedies for instances where a consumer purchases a product relying on inaccurate information, in addition to general clauses about remedies where a product is mis-sold: 6.1.5. Where a customer has relied on inaccurate information provided by the CSP about an offer to make a 	
	(where calculable) - the minimum term of the offer - the minimum quantifiable	process for cancelling a service. 3.The proposed 'Essential	prohibitions on misleading, deceptive or unconscionable conduct	purchasing decision, the CSP must provide the customer with (i) corrected information about the offer; and	

	sible Selling Practices	Commonst	Suggested of suggests	DC Commont	
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	 price of the offer the minimum term of any discounts of benefits (where relevant), and information on mandatory bundled products 	Information' and order summary requirements will provide some important information for consumers but will not ensure they have	(recommendations 1 and 2 from our submission) 2.The Code should contain clear	 (ii) a remedy that is tailored to and is appropriate in the circumstances for that customer. IIO proposal 2: The new Order	
	included with the base telecommunications product (where relevant)	a comprehensive record of what they agreed with their telco.	obligations for telcos to give consumers written information showing the entire content of their	Summary strengthens existing obligations by providing a written summary of the details of the customer's order, in	
	2.Telcos would be required to include the Essential information in CISs, and in the body of advertising for telecommunications products.	4.The proposed requirements relating to telcos' sales commission structures are not explicit or	agreement at the time they sign up for services, and for telcos to retain this information (recommendation 4 from our submission)	addition to the SFOA and CIS. this makes up the entirety of the consumer contract and will be provided to customers: Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	
	 3. An obligation for telcos to clearly explain Essential Information about a telecommunications product to consumers during the sales process. 4. A separate obligation for telcos to outline the difference between special promotional offers and the base offer during the sales 	prescriptive enough. 5.The proposed remedies for mis-selling may not be prescriptive enough to provide effective consumer protections. Nor are the provisions relating to sales induced by incorrect	3.To better align with the ACMA's expectations,	Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Customer contract, [update cl 4.6.5] 1.A CSP must keep the following records regarding the customer contract: a. the order summary;	
	process. 5.An obligation for telcos to give consumers an 'order summary' after entering into a consumer contract. The order summary must contain:	 information from a telco. 6.We are concerned about the suggestion consumers should need to provide evidence of their 		IIO proposal 3: Agree, and the DC notes that the draft code does contain many clear positive obligations for responsible selling throughout the "Responsible Selling: Sales, contracts and credit	
	 the name of the telco the contact details of the telco the expiry date of the fixed 	vulnerability in order to get a remedy for some inappropriate sales.		assessment" chapter.	

Respons	ible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	Issue term contract (if applicable) - information about any special promotions, including discounts or bonus entitlements and the expiry date of the special promotion, and - A link to the CIS 6.The inclusion of some detailed examples of actions telcos may take to address mis-selling. It appears the intent is for this to be non- binding guidance. 7.An obligation for telcos to allow cancellation of telco products without charge where a customer provides 'reasonable proof of vulnerability at the time of sale'. 8.Requirements for telcos' commission and incentive structures to promote responsible selling, including guidance for how this might be achieved. CA has	Comment be more effective if it instead places a positive obligation on telcos not to make inappropriate sales to vulnerable consumers, and to release affected consumers from their contracts where this occurs.	drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	suggested a 'recommendation to claw back staff commissions from inappropriate sales'.				
	9.Updates to the Code's responsible approach to selling obligations to strengthen requirements to proactively identify and act with mis-selling occurs. This				

Respon	nsible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	would include an obligation for telcos to monitor complaints for instances of mis-selling and take reasonable steps to correct those instances by giving them 'a remedy that can be tailored to and is appropriate in the circumstances for the customer'.				
	 9a. Sample drafting for this obligation provides the following (non-prescribed) examples of appropriate remedies: returning the customer to the position they were in prior to the sale terminating a customer's contract without charge, or enacting a change of contract without penalty to the customer 				
	 10. A new obligation, where a consumer has relied on inaccurate information provided by a telco about an offer to make a purchasing decision, for the telco to give the consumer: corrected information about the offer, and a remedy that is appropriate in the circumstances. 				

Respons	sible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
Industry	Proposal – Sales to vulnerable customers We will introduce a new clause clarifying that customers can cancel services sold to them, where there is reasonable proof of vulnerability that impacted their decision-making at the time of sale. Sample Drafting Chapter 6 - Responsible selling: Sales, contracts and credit assessments: Selling Policies, [new clause] 1. Where the customer has provided reasonable proof of vulnerability at the time of sale, the CSP must allow cancellation of the purchased telecommunications product without charge. Where a customer has purchased a telecommunications product while affected by a vulnerability that impacted their decision-making at the point of sale, a CSP must allow cancellation of the purchased telecommunications product while affected by a vulnerability that impacted their decision-making at the point of sale, a CSP must allow cancellation of the purchased telecommunications product without charge, where reasonable proof of vulnerability has been provided.			Guidance has been added.	New guidance:Guidance: sales to vulnerable customersThis clause does not require that the CSP request proof of

Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
			drafting (if applicable)		
					purchasing multiple
					products, then cancelling
					them. The CSP becomes
					aware that the customer i
					question has suffered from
					a brain injury and does no recall purchasing the
					products repeatedly. In thi
					scenario, it is advisable for
					the CSP to keep
					evidentiary materials on
					file to ensure the customer
					can be protected from
					making future purchases
					while affected.
					Example documentation:
					 Statutory declaration
					 Medical letter
					Letter from a support
					service used by the
					customer
					Evidence from a state trustee or power of
					trustee or power of attorney
					anomey
Industry	Proposal – Sales to vulnerable	The way it is written if you		Drafting has been changed for	Chanaed to: (already
	customers	have a vulnerability you		clarity.	addressed above)
	We will introduce a new clause	can cancel. It should be		, .	1.Where a customer has
	clarifying that customers can	amended to be that			purchased a
	cancel services sold to them,	'where a customer has			telecommunications
	where there is reasonable proof				product while affected
	of vulnerability that impacted	vulnerability that			by a vulnerability that
	their decision-making at the	impacted their decision			impacted their decision
	time of sale.	making"			making at the point of
					sale, a CSP must allow
	Sample Drafting	Agree - the vulnerability			cancellation of the
	Chapter 6 - Responsible selling:	needs to have been the			purchased

Respon	sible Selling Practices				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	assessments: Selling Policies, [new clause] 1.Where the customer has provided reasonable proof of	responsibly, this rule would not apply.			telecommunications product without charge, where reasonable proof of vulnerability has been provided.

Vulner	able Customer				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCA	Proposal – enhanced prominence and focus on meeting the needs of consumers in vulnerable circumstances, including through a new 'supporting the consumer' (or similar title) chapter	ACCAN supports this section in principle however in the absence of expanded drafting, ACCAN cannot effectively comment on the proposed approach.		Noted - no comment.	No change
	The needs of those in vulnerable circumstances will be highlighted throughout the Code, as it is relevant to most chapters (e.g. advertising, sales, customers service and support, payments, debt management and disconnection), but would also be a key focus of an early chapter, 'supporting the consumer' (or similar title). This would include enhanced specific information and overarching requirements relating to vulnerable consumers, covering: - Languages/translation requirements (ref: Languages Issues paper) - DFV (ref: DFV Issues Paper) - A consumer's right and ability to use an Authorised Representative (a current requirement under 3.5 but with clarifications and updates to				
	 specific information and overarching requirements relating to vulnerable consumers, covering: Languages/translation requirements (ref: Languages Issues paper) DFV (ref: DFV Issues Paper) A consumer's right and ability to use an Authorised Representative (a current requirement under 3.5 but with clarifications 				

	able Customer	Commont	Suggested shapes to	DC Commont	How it has been
Drg.	lssue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	addressed
	- A consumer's right and				
	ability to use an Advocate				
	(current 3.6, with				
	updates/clarity changes)				
	- Accessibility requirements				
	(see new separate issues				
	paper)				
ССА	N Guidance: What do we mean		Comment 1: ACCAN	The definition of	Add Domestic and Fami
	by 'a consumer in vulnerable		considers that the DC	Telecommunications Product i	-
	circumstances'?		should widen the drafting	Telecommunications Goods or	
	A person experiencing (or at		scope of vulnerability to	Service.	abuse is a form of DFV.
	risk of experiencing)		represent that it does not		
	vulnerability is someone who		center around the	The list was intended to be	
	experiences barriers accessing,		consumers access to	examples, we can add DFV to	
	engaging with, or maintaining		telecommunications but	the list.	
	telecommunications products.		impacts the consumer's		
			access, engaging or	Note the interplay of the FH	
	These barriers include event-		maintaining	Standard. The Guidance is	
	based circumstances,		telecommunications	meant to be indicative only,	
	personal/social circumstances		products and services.	not exhaustive.	
	and market-based factors.		ACCAN considers that the		
	Examples include sickness,		sample drafting should be		
	bereavement, unemployment,		amended to include telecommunications		
	disability, language or literacy				
	barriers, age, etc.).		products and services.		
	A person may be in a		Comment 2: ACCAN		
	vulnerable situation		considers that this list		
	temporarily, or long term.		should be amended to		
			include domestic and		
			family violence and		
			economic abuse. ACCAN		
			considers that the DC		
			should consider the		
			definition of financial		
			hardship located in the		
			draft financial hardship		
			standard when		
			considering the factors		

rg.	Issue		Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
			drafting (if applicable)		addressed
			that can contribute to		
			consumer vulnerability.		
(AC)	Proposal – new/enhanced	ACCAN considers that these	ACCAN considers that if		No change
	obligations in relation to CSPs'		required, a thorough	important element of	
	•		review of the	promoting fair outcomes for all	
	policies.	around organisational culture.		customers, including	
			should be conducted by	customers in vulnerable	
	CSPs will be required have		the ACMA and take into	circumstances.	
	policies and support material		account the historical		
	to support an organisation		record of how a provider	It is not within the remit of the	
	culture that considers fair and		has interacted with	DC to direct the ACMA to	
	reasonable consumer		vulnerable consumers.	undertake a review of	
	outcomes, including for those that may be			organisational culture. The	
	in vulnerable circumstances.			Code revision is looking at improvement of processes into	
	This will include, for example,			the future rather than historical	
	being able to demonstrate			behaviour.	
	that their organisational culture				
	and practices appropriately				
	supports compliance with this				
	Code.				
	Proposal – new/enhanced		ACCAN considers that	Noted. The DC considers that	No change
	obligations in relation to CSPs'		providers should be	evidence and measurement	
	organisational culture and		required to provide	of compliance, as well as the	
	policies.		evidence of having	availability of	
			undertaken these actions	policies/processes forms part	
	This might be demonstrated		to the ACMA as part of	of the discussion around	
	through, for example:		the process of	measures of success and	
	- Systems and processes		demonstrating a positive	metrics.	
	being in place to assist		organisational culture.		
	different vulnerable groups –				
	for example,				
	 specific contact channels 				
	for those experiencing				
	domestic and family				
	violence, financial				
	hardship				
	• information and tools to				
	help create awareness of				

	able Customer				
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
	help available, and to		drafting (if applicable)		addressed
	support self-identification				
	of vulnerability, and				
	assistance to self-manage				
	(e.g. through				
	apps/website to manage				
	payments, change plan,				
	etc.,) or seek customer				
	support assistance.				
CCA	Proposal – new/enhanced		ACCAN considers that this	The DC considers there needs	Guidance: A CSP should
	obligations in relation to CSPs'			to be flexibility in the way CSPs	
	organisational culture and		include Culturally and	can develop policies for	customers in vulnerable
	policies.		Linguistically Diverse	customers in vulnerable	circumstances. A policy
	•		consumers, including first	circumstances due to the size,	on 'vulnerable
	Sample Drafting		nations consumers in rural,	scale, business model or	consumers' may consist
	Chapter 4 - Supporting the		regional and remote	market the CSP operates in. It	a suite of policies that
	Customer		communities.	is not appropriate to require	relate to specific types of
	1.CSPs must have policies and			separate policies on all every	vulnerability (e.g.,
	supporting materials on the			type of vulnerable customer	domestic and family
	following,			cohort. Rather, a CSP should	violence, accessibility,
	managed and overseen by			have consideration to different	
	a senior executive:			types of vulnerability as it	others as the CSP
	a. Vulnerable consumers;			develops its policies.	considers appropriate to
	b. Domestic and Family				its size, scale and busine
	Violence, including				model). It may have a
	processes that consider				generic 'vulnerable
	the identification, support,				consumer' policy that
	and safety considerations				addresses different type
	for consumers				of vulnerability in the one
	affected by DFV;				document.
	c. Accessibility, including				
	digital accessibility.	See ACCAN comments on		Addressed via DEV Papar	
ICCAI		the position paper - Domestic		Addressed via DFV Paper	
	training arrangements.	and Family Violence. ACCAN			
		considers that this statement			
	Sample Drafting	should be revised.			
	Chapter 4 - Supporting the				
	Customer: Staff training –				

Drg.	Issue	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
-			drafting (if applicable)		addressed	
	<u>company-wide</u>					
	1.CSPs must provide staff					
	training to support					
	compliance with Code					
	obligations, including (where					
	relevant):					
	a. purpose and scope of					
	the Code;					
	b. vulnerable consumers;					
	c. domestic and Family					
	Violence, including					
	training that considers the					
	identification, support,					
	and safety considerations					
	for consumers affected by DFV;					
	N Proposal - new/enhanced	For more detail on ACCAN's	ACCAN considers that this	Addressed via DEV Deper	No comment	
CCA	obligations in relation to CSPs'	position regarding staff	ACCAN considers that this training should be	Addressed vid Drv Paper	No comment	
	training arrangements.	training to assist consumers	conducted with reputable			
	indining dirangements.	experiencing DFV, see	training providers,			
	Sample Drafting		especially with regard to			
	Chapter 4 - Supporting the	https://accan.org.au/accans				
	Customer: Staff training –	work/policy-positions/2253-	vulnerable consumers.			
	specialist staff	domestic-and-family-violence				
	1.Company-wide training	,	staff who are interacting			
	must be supplemented by		with consumers			
	appropriately tailored		experiencing DFV should			
	training for specialist staff to		undergo half yearly			
	suit their specific roles and		refresher training			
	responsibilities					
	(where relevant). This					
	includes:					
	1.training on identifying,					
	supporting and assisting					
	vulnerable consumers;					
	2.training on DFV for:					
	i. frontline customer					
	service staff;					
	ii. specialist DFV staff;					

/ulnei	rable Customer				
rg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	iii.staff working in areas				
	likely to deal with DFV-				
	related issues. This				
	includes staff in sales,				
	credit collections,				
	financial hardship,				
	fraud, privacy, and				
	escalated complaints				
	management roles.				
	3.working with Advocates				
	and Authorised				
	Representatives.				
CCA	N Proposal - new/enhanced	ACCAN welcomes more		Noted.	No comment
	obligations in relation to CSPs'	drafting on this chapter and			
	governance arrangements.	cannot commend effectively	,		
		on this chapter in its absence.			
	Sample Drafting				
	Chapter 3 – Organisational				
	culture: Governance				
	1.CSPs must be able to				
	demonstrate that their				
	organisational culture				
	appropriately supports				
	compliance with this Code.				
	2.CSPs must establish specific				
	governance arrangements				
	to demonstrate compliance	•			
	with this Code.				
	3.Code compliance				
	governance arrangements				
	must be overseen by a				
	senior executive officer.				
Vulnera	ble Customer				
---------	---	--	---	---	------------------------------
Drg.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ΠΟ	 Highlights the needs of vulnerable customers across several chapters of the Code, including in areas relating to Languages, Domestic or Family Violence, accessibility requirements and requirements relating to authorised representatives/advocates. The needs of vulnerable consumers would also be highlighted in the proposed 'supporting the consumer' chapter. New requirements relating to telcos' culture, practices, training and governance to support fair and reasonable outcomes for vulnerable consumers. 	1.We are supportive of these proposals in principle, but cannot comment further without seeing further detail of the drafting in context.		Noted - no comment.	No comment
ndustry	Proposal – new/enhanced obligations in relation to CSPs' organisational culture and policies. Sample drafting Chapter 4 - Supporting the Customer 1.CSPs must have policies and supporting materials on the following, managed and overseen by a senior executive: 1.Vulnerable consumers;	A 'vulnerable consumer' policy is impractical and would have duplication across financial hardship, D&FV, accessibility or other policies. It should be clear that it may be a suite of policies that make up 'vulnerable customer' policy. Or a vulnerable consumer policy would be high level referencing the other policies (DF&V, accessibility, financial hardship etc.) and that the provider should have mandatory training to recognise vulnerability; how		Relates to above comment from ACCAN. Include guidance to suggest that a vulnerable consumer policy can be a suite of policies or a single policy that addresses various types of vulnerability?	

Vulner	able Customer				
Org.	Issue	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
		frequently that training is			
		provided and commitment to			
		support customers in			
		vulnerable circumstances.			
ndustry	Proposal - new/enhanced	Aligned with other feedback -		Align with feedback in DFV	
	obligations in relation to CSPs'	may not be appropriate for al	I	Paper	
	training arrangements.	staff to receive training on the			
		identification, support and			
	Sample drafting	safety considerations for			
	Chapter 4 - Supporting the	consumers affected by DFV.			
	<u>Customer: Staff training –</u>	Company wide training may			
	<u>company-wide</u>	relate to the awareness of the			
	1.CSPs must provide staff	issue (as per DFV paper).			
	training to support	Relevant staff should be			
	compliance with Code	trained in ID, support, and			
	obligations, including (where	safety considerations			
	relevant):				
	a. purpose and scope of				
	the Code;				
	b. Vulnerable consumers;				
	c. domestic and Family				
	Violence, including				
	training that considers the	•			
	identification, support,				
	and safety considerations				
	for consumers affected				
	by DFV;				

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to		How it has been
ACCAN	General Comment - Code Structure and Summary of Chapters	 <u>Outcomes -</u> the required outcomes for consumers, <u>Expectations -</u> the highlevel expectations about what RSPs need to do to ensure that these consumer outcomes are realised, <u>Rules -</u> the minimum requirements / minimum compliance obligations on RSPS to meet the expectations, and <u>Measurement of Success</u> (<u>MoS) -</u> details about what needs to be recorded and reported on to measure that highlevel outcomes are being met (effectiveness metrics) and that rules are complied with 	does not meet the outcomes or expectations sections of the various code provisions.	drafting (if applicable)		addressed No changes.
ACCAN	2. GENERAL 2.1. Introduction and scope (Code Status)	(process metrics). 2.1.2. The Code replaces TCP Code C628:2019 Incorporating Variation No.1/2020 upon Code commencement.	The existing TCP code is named C628:2019 Telecommunications Consumer Protections Code Incorporating variation No.1/2022 instead of No.1 / 2020.		Transposition error will be updated.	Updated
ACCAN	2. GENERAL 2.1. Introduction and scope (Compliance with the Code)	2.1.9. Guidance notes are included for additional assistance about how rules should be implemented to ensure outcomes and expectations are met. Compliance with guidance		ACCAN considers the Code should make explicit mention to the voluntary nature of the guidance notes present inside the Code.	Please note CA position paper on regulatory reform.	No changes.

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
Jig.			Comment	drafting (if applicable)		addressed
		in the Code does not		ACCAN considers that		
		guarantee compliance with		the code should refer to		
		the relevant clause of the		section 106 of the		
		Code or the corresponding		Telecommunications Act		
		provision of legislation or		1997 (Cth) which notes		
		requirement of a regulator.		that:		
				'Compliance with an		
				industry code is voluntary		
				unless the ACMA directs		
				a particular participant in		
				the telecommunications		
				industry, the		
				telemarketing industry or		
				the fax marketing industry		
				to comply with the code."		
ACCAN	2. GENERAL	2.2.1. The Code should be	ACCAN would refer the DC to our comments on the		Noted, issues covered	No changes.
	2.2 Relevant	read in conjunction with:	"Position Paper - Accessibility"		in response to Accessibility paper.	
	Documents	[1.2] c) industry guidance,	regarding our		Accessionity puper.	
	Docomenis	including:	recommendations for			
		xii) Web Content	providers to adhere to the			
			most recent form of WCAG.			
		(WCAG),				
		http://www.w3.org/TR/				
		WCAG/;				
CCAN	3. ORGANISATIONAL		ACCAN supports this section		Noted	No changes.
	CULTURE, POLICIES,		in principle however in the			_
	TRAINING AND		absence of substantive			
	GOVERNANCE		drafting, cannot further			
			comment on this section.			
	(overarching)					
ACCAN	4. SUPPORTING THE	Outcomes		ACCAN considers that	Clearer obligations are	
	CONSUMER	II. Consumers who are		Outcome II should be	to be articulated in the	
		disadvantaged or		amended to more clearly	Rules	are
		vulnerable are		articulate the treatment		appropriately
		appropriately supported		of vulnerable or		supported
		throughout the customer		disadvantaged		throughout
		journey.		consumers.		the customer

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
						journey.
	4. SUPPORTING THE CONSUMER	 Expectations CSPs will provide information in a manner that is clear, accurate and accessible to consumers, and CSP representatives will also be trained to do so. II. CSPs will support the appointment of authorised representatives or advocates for consumers and will have in place policies to manage this process. III. If a CSP advertises products in a different language, it will also be able to provide support to consumers in that language. 			Clearer obligations are to be articulated in th Rules. The DC notes ACCAN comments in other papers that staff should be 'appropriately trained and resourced to assis customers with disability' and will incorporate this as an Expectation.	e added to Chapter 3 and 4 's CSPs will provide appropriate training and resources to star to enable them st to assist and support vulnerable consumers.
ACCAN	 5. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: ADVERTISING AND PRE-SALE INFORMATION 5.2. Rules: Critical Information Summary (Critical Information Summary) 	5.2.2. A CIS must be available upon request for as long as the offer has active customers (including offers no longer publicly available)	ACCAN considers that the DC should examine the feedback of consumer advocates on the CIS present in the 2018 TCP code review and the 2020 consumer safeguards part c review. ACCAN's 2018 tcp code submission can be found here: https://accan.org.au/our- work/submissions/1528-tcp- code?highlight=WyJ0Y3AiXQ ==		Noted. The purpose o the CIS is to act as a static set of key information about a telecommunications product. The DC has sought to address information asymmetries in a multitude of ways - changes to response selling practices, management of vulnerable consumers the development of	

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
-				drafting (if applicable)		addressed
					the concept of	
					'essential information'	
					and clearer drafting to	
					support CSPs'	
					understanding of the	
					requirements under	
					the Code when	
					advertising their	
					products.	
ACCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE	Content of the CIS		ACCAN considers that a	Coverage maps are	No changes.
	SELLING:			hyperlink to the	not relevant for all	
	ADVERTISING AND			organisations' coverage	telecommunications	
	PRE-SALE			map should be included	products	
	INFORMATION			in the CIS.		
	5.2. Rules: Critical					
	Information Summary					
	(Critical Information					
	Summary)					
ACCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE	Content of the CIS	ACCAN would seek		Yes, that is the intent.	Develop
	SELLING:	5.2.7(e) a description of the	clarification from the DC on		Further guidance will	guidance on the
		impact of cancellation of a	the nature of 'impact' in this		be drafted as part of	concept of
	PRE-SALE	bundled	clause. Would this clause		the substantive	'impact of
	INFORMATION	telecommunications	seek to make consumers		drafting in 2024 to	cancellation'
		product (if relevant) [new];	aware of the cost of early		clarify this.	
	5.2. Rules: Critical		cancellation or its impact on			
	Information Summary		their services?			
	(Critical Information					
	Summary)					
ACCAN		5.2.7(f) details of payment		ACCAN considers that	It would not be	No changes.
	SELLING:	options [new];		the CIS should include an		
	ADVERTISING AND			approximation of the fees		
	PRE-SALE				dynamic, and the CIS	
	INFORMATION			payment option	is static.	
				applicable for the CIS.		
	5.2. Rules: Critical				To be included in the	
	Information Summary				general billing page	
	(Critical Information				where the information	
	Summary)				can remain up to	

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
					date.	
ACCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: ADVERTISING AND PRE-SALE INFORMATION 5.2. Rules: Critical Information Summary (Critical Information	5.2.7(i) information on translation services, displayed in community languages [new]		ACCAN considers that consumers should be directed to a free translation service which offers at least 10 community languages.	Please note response in Languages & Translation Services paper	No changes.
ACCAN	Summary) 5. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: ADVERTISING AND PRE-SALE INFORMATION 5.2. Rules: Critical Information Summary (Critical Information Summary)	5.2.7(j) the CSPs customer service contact details [4.2.2(b)(xi)];		example, listing their	It would not be effective to include in the CIS, as the information may change, and the CIS is static. To be included in the general contact details pagers where can remain up to date.	No changes.
ACCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: ADVERTISING AND PRE-SALE INFORMATION 5.2. Rules: Critical Information Summary (Critical Information Summary)	5.2.7.(I) contact details for the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman [4.2.2(b) (xiii)].			Agree to update that the TIO is free. Debt support information is not relevant for all telecommunications products (such as prepaid).	Update TIO reference to include information it is free to seek support.

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
				discouraged from seeking assistance.		
ACCAN		 5.3.4. When advertising telecommunication products, CSPs must ensure: [4.3.1] (a) the periodic price is prominently displayed; [new] 		ACCAN considers that the DC should provide more clarified drafting on	Will be a defined term once the DC commences substantive drafting in 2024. Not all products are monthly - e.g., some are six monthly or annual. The CIS price should reflect that.	Final drafting TBC
ACCAN		 5.3.4. When advertising telecommunications products, CSPs must ensure: [4.3.1] (j) for mobile network coverage, display the underlying wholesale provider; and [new] 	ACCAN supports in principle the inclusion of this requirement in the draft code.		Noted	No changes.
ACCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: ADVERTISING AND PRE-SALE	5.3.6. CSPs must monitor complaints to identify possible inaccurate essential information in advertising. [4.4.1(c)]	Consumers should not have to process complaints regarding essential information in order for a provider to become aware that the information is inaccurate.	ACCAN considers that CSPs should conduct a yearly or half yearly review of the essential information provided to consumers.	Noted. The DC will be reviewing the MoS, including the annual attestation.	Final drafting of MoS TBC

)rg.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
	essential information)				
CCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE	5.3.7. Once a CSP is aware		ACCAN considers that	It is not possible to	No changes.
	SELLING:	that an advertised offer		the DC provide a fixed	outline a max time	
	ADVERTISING AND	contains inaccurate		time for the correction of	period, due to	
	PRE-SALE	essential information, it must		inaccurate essential	differences in	
	INFORMATION	take reasonable steps to		information. Given the	advertising medium	
		correct the advertisement in	า	differences in medium,	(as noted in	
		g a reasonable timeframe.		ACCAN considers that a	Guidance)	
	(Inaccurate	[4.4.1(b)]		maximum time period		
	advertising of			should be included by		
	essential information,			the DC.		
ACCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE	5.4.2. Information about		ACCAN considers that	Agreed.	5.4.2 Informatio
	SELLING:	telecommunication		information related to		about
	ADVERTISING AND	products currently offered		payment options should		telecommunico
	PRE-SALE	must be made publicly		be accompanied by the		on products
	INFORMATION	available by CSPs without		related fees for the		currently offere
		charge, including: [4.3.1]		payment options.		must be made
	5.4. Rules:	(d) information on the				publicly
	Telecommunication	bill formats, billing options	S,			available by
	product information	billing period and				CSPs without
	(Publishing	payment options offered				charge,
	information about	by the CSP; [4.3.1(d)]				including: [4.3.1
	telecommunication					(d) information
	products)					on the bill
						formats, billing
						options, billing
						period and
						payment optio
						offered by the
						CSP, as well as
						associated fee
						for payment
						options;
						[4.3.1(d)]

rg.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has beer
				drafting (if applicable)		addressed
CCAN	5. RESPONSIBLE	5.4.2.(g)(ii) information		ACCAN considers that	Tidy up drafting.	Updated to:
	SELLING:	about the cost to send SMS,		given the significant		
	ADVERTISING AND	make and receive standard		expenses that consumers		
	PRE-SALE	calls and for data usage as		may incur in relation to	to the	about roaming
	INFORMATION	relevant (including		international roaming	Telecommunications	(domestic and
		information that roaming		charges, that the DC	Service Provider	international),
	5.4. Rules:	charges may be higher than		should provide more		including
		charges for international		clarity and information to	01	information
	product information	calls from Australia and data		providers on their		about: [4.3.1(h
	(Publishing	usage may be more		responsibilities when	which contains clarity	
	information about	expensive, and that		providing consumers		(ii) the maximu
	telecommunications	customers may be charged		information on roaming	information and alerts	charge
	products)	for both making and		charges.	required to be	information for
		receiving calls while			provided to customers	using the
		overseas); and			when roaming.	telecommunic
					_	ons service wh
						overseas, as
						outlined in the
						Telecommunic
						ons Service
						Provider
						(International
						Mobile Roami
						Determination
						2019; [new, lin
						with
						Telecommunia
						ons Service
						Provider
						(International
						Mobile Roami
						Determinatior
						2019]; and

<u>Draft Ou</u>	tline New TCP Code					
Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCAN	6. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: SALES, CONTRACTS, AND CREDIT ASSESSMENTS	(Overarching)		ACCAN would welcome significant redrafting of the outcomes and expectations of this section by the DC with great consideration given to the experiences of vulnerable consumers. ACCAN considers that explicit mention should be made to the experiences of vulnerable consumers in the outcomes and expectations sections.		Final drafting TBC
ACCAN	6. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: SALES, CONTRACTS, AND CREDIT ASSESSMENTS	Summary Covers the requirements during the sale, to manage the direct interactions that form a sale as the general consumer becomes a customer.	ACCAN considers that this drafting is inappropriate in supporting vulnerable consumers. ACCAN considers that the drafting of Outcome I is unclear to providers and consumers. ACCAN considers that the Code should be more detailed in its framing of 'safeguards'.	ACCAN considers that the drafting of Outcome I should be revised to:	Outcomes are focused on consumer outcomes - the language of 'policies and systems' best fits with the expectations on CSPs.	policies and supporting materials are designed to support responsible selling.

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
					definition includes goods and services.	
1ACCA	6. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: SALES, CONTRACTS, AND CREDIT ASSESSMENTS	 Outcomes I. Safeguards at point of sale to protect consumers and prevent inappropriate sales of telecommunication products. II. Consumers receive all essential information at point of sale. III. Consumers are supported to make informed decisions about which telecommunications products best suit their needs and 		ACCAN considers that an additional outcome should be included: 'Consumers are subject to comprehensive credit assessments which are used to inform the consumer's and the telecommunications provider's credit risk tolerance.'	This is not relevant for all telecommunications products (prepaid)	No change.
	6. RESPONSIBLE SELLING: SALES, CONTRACTS, AND CREDIT ASSESSMENTS	circumstances. Expectations IV. CSPs support consumers to make informed decisions about which of the telecommunications products they have available best suits their wants, needs, and budget. V. CSPs take a responsible approach to selling telecommunications products		ACCAN considers another expectation should be added to this section: 'CSPs must take a responsible approach to selling telecommunications products and services to vulnerable consumers and take into account the individual consumers circumstances. Vulnerable consumers should not be up-sold telecommunication products and services.'	Noted: however, this is not an Expectation, but a Rule. The refreshed Code seeks to avoid duplication. There are specific Rules proposed around selling based on identified needs (please see Vulnerable Customers paper) and for offers to align with a customer's needs and circumstances. Additionally, there are expectations set in the	Expectation I I. Consumers are supporte to make informed decisions about which telecommun cations products bes suit their needs and circumstance s.

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been
U				drafting (if applicable)		addressed
					Supporting the Customer chapter for managing and supporting vulnerable consumers that will apply to all interactions. The definition of responsible selling will also contain concepts around supporting vulnerable customers.	
ACCAN	7.CUSTOMER SERVICE	Outcomes	ACCAN considers that this	ACCAN considers that	Noted.	Outcomes
	AND SUPPORT	 Customers are treated fairly and reasonably, so they are less likely to experience vulnerability and harm when accessing and maintaining telecommunications services. Customers can easily and conveniently contact their CSPs with enquiries or problems. Customer enquiries are managed effectively, and efficiently. 	drafting should be revised. Consumers can and primarily do experience vulnerability independently of their experiences with telecommunications providers.	this section should be		 I. Customers are treated fairly and reasonably, with their needs and circumstance s considered so they are less likely to experience vulnerability and harm when accessing and maintaining telecommuni cations services. II. Customers can easily and conveniently contact their CSPs with-

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
						enquiries or problems. III. Customer enquiries are managed effectively and efficiently.
ACCAN	7.CUSTOMER SERVI AND SUPPORT	CE Expectations I. CSPs will manage customers fairly and reasonably, with a proactive focus on limiting the impact of vulnerability experienced by customers to prevent harm when accessing and managing telecommunications products.	ACCAN supports the drafting in principle, however, queries the following drafting: 'with a proactive focus on limiting the impact of vulnerability experienced by consumers to prevent harm'.	this drafting should	There is no need to add 'service' to the term telecommunications products, as the definition includes goods and services.	Updated, see above.
ACCAN	7.CUSTOMER SERVI AND SUPPORT			ACCAN considers that this provision should be reworded to: 'focusing on meeting the needs of consumers, including the specific needs of vulnerable consumers.'	Agreed.	II. CSPs will provide customers with consistent and effective customer service, regardless of the communication method that consumers use to contact their CSP, with consideration given to a consumers needs and circumstances.

	tline New TCP Code					
Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
ACCAN	7.CUSTOMER SERVICI	E Expectations I. CSPs will provide customers with consistent and effective customer service, regardless of the communication method that consumers use to contact their CSP, including iii. clearly communicating internal customer service escalation pathways; and iv. having a process for the ongoing management of a customer enquiry, including external referrals as appropriate.		ACCAN considers that drafting should reflect the requirements on providers to ensure that they respond to customer inquiries in a timely manner.		Final drafting TBC
ACCAN	8. CHARGING, BILLS, AND PAYMENTS		ACCAN considers consumers should have flexibility over the fee free payment options provided to them. ACCAN commentary on this topic has been extensive and present in the following resources: ACCAN TCP code submission 2023: https://accan.org.au/accan s-work/submissions/2147-tcp- code-discussion-paper-2023 ACCAN TCP code submission 2018: https://accan.org.au/our-		See responses to this issue in Payment Methods paper.	No changes.

Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has beer
				drafting (if applicable)		addressed
			work/submissions/1528-tcp-			
			code?highlight=WyJ0Y3AiXQ			
			==			
			ACCAN Consumer			
			Safeguards Part C:			
			https://accan.org.au/our-			
			work/submissions/1804-csr-			
			partc			
			pare			
			ACCAN direct debit research	h		
			2023:			
			https://accan.org.au/accan			
			s-work/research/2121-direct-			
			debit-in-telecommunications			
	8. CHARGING, BILLS,	Outcome	ACCAN considers that in		See responses to this	No changes.
	AND PAYMENTS	,	order for consumers to have		issue in Payment	
		and control over their	flexibility and control over		Methods paper.	
		payment options.	their payment options, they			
			should be provided with a			
			wide range of fee free			
		For a shall and	payment options.			
ACCAN	8. CHARGING, BILLS,	Expectations		ACCAN considers that	There is no need to	No changes.
	AND PAYMENTS	CSPs will provide information		this drafting should be amended to include:	add 'service' to the	
		about bills and charges to		amended to include.	term telecommunications	
		customers arising out of the		telecommunications	products, as the	
		supply of telecommunications		products and services.	definition includes	
		products.		products and services.	goods and services.	
ACCAN	9. CREDIT	Outcomes	ACCAN would direct the DC		See responses to this	No changes.
	MANAGEMENT, DEBT				issue in Credit	
	MANAGEMENT,		credit management, debt		Management and	
	ANDDISCONNECTION	•	management and		Disconnection paper.	
			disconnection position paper	-		
		II. Customers are informed	as well as our comments on		Additionally, there are	
		of the consequences of	the 2023 proposed		expectations set in the	
		non-payment for	telecommunications draft		Supporting the	
		telecommunications	financial hardship standard.		Customer chapter for	
			ACCAN considers that the		managing and	

	tline New TCP Code	Clause	Comment		DC Commerciat	
Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has beer addressed
		restriction, suspension and disconnection of telecommunications services	outcomes and expectations subsections of this section of the code should clearly make reference to the experiences of vulnerable consumers. ACCAN considers that this should be reflected as part of the outcomes and expectations section. Link to ACCAN comments on the draft financial hardship standard: https://accan.org.au/accan s-work/submissions/2257- accan-response-to-the- acma-consultation-on-the- proposed- telecommunications- financial-hardship-industry-		supporting vulnerable consumers that will apply to all interactions. The refreshed Code seeks to avoid duplication.	
ACCAN	9. CREDIT MANAGEMENT, DEBT MANAGEMENT, ANDDISCONNECTION	 Expectations CSPs will have processes for restriction, suspension and disconnection for non-paying customers not in financial hardship. II. CSPs will provide sufficient notice to customers about credit and debt management activity, including suspensions, restrictions, disconnections, and referrals to debt collection agencies. 	<u>standard</u>	ACCAN considers that CSPs should be required to communicate to the consumer as part of any credit management, debt management or disconnection action that disconnection is an option of last resort. ACCAN considers that this expectation be amended to: 'CSPs will ensure that disconnection is only used as an option of last resort and consumers at risk of	Expectations. Additionally, there are expectations set in the Supporting the Customer chapter for managing and supporting vulnerable	No changes.

Draft C	outline New TCP Code					
Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
		III. CSPs will treat disconnection as an option of last resort.		disconnection are directed to the appropriate financial hardship or DFV support services offered by the telecommunications	interactions. The refreshed Code seeks to avoid duplication.	
TIO	SELLING:	 5.2.1. A CIS must be available for all current offers: 1. Drafting changes for some of the Code's existing obligations, including obligations relating to content requirements of CISs, and requirements relating to advertising. 2. These drafting changes rework the language of existing obligations without significantly changing the substance. There are some new features, such as: 2a. An obligation for CISs to be in a 'durable medium', and to contain a description of the impact of cancelling a bundled telecommunications product (there is some overlap here with what the Drafting Committee discussed in its 	We support these changes in principle but would like to see the drafting in full context before commenting further.	drafting in context before	Noted	No changes.

Draft O	utline New TCP C	ode				
Org.	Chapter	Clause	Comment	Suggested change to drafting (if applicable)	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
		Responsible Selling Policies and Essential				
		Information position papers.				
		2b. An obligation for telect advertising to prominently display the periodic price of a product.	/			
		2c. An obligation for telcos to publish information about telco				
		products in a manner that minimises the need for disclaimers.				

Other Comn		Commont	Suggested shares to	DC Commont	Llow it has been
Organisatior	issue	Comment	Suggested change to	DC Comment	How it has been addressed
[0	BILLING	The Drafting Committee	drafting (if applicable) 1.The Code should	For this December deadline,	n/a
	DILLING	The Drafting Committee	contain a universal	we have focused on key areas	
		has not provided issues			
		or position papers on this		as directed by the ACMA. We have therefore focused on	
		topic and we are concerned stakeholder	to supply bills to their customers before		
				payments not billing at this	
		feedback in this area	charges for a billing	point.	
		has not yet been addressed.	cycle come due or are deducted		
		uddiessed.			
			(recommendation 22		
			from our submission).		
			1a. The requirement		
			should apply		
			irrespective of the		
			consumer's payment		
			method. Bills should		
			include an itemised list		
			of all charges and		
			service usage		
			information for the		
			relevant billing period		
			(recommendation 22		
			from our submission).		
			2.The Code should also		
			require telcos to		
			provide bills that are		
			simple and easy to		
			understand		
			(recommendation 23		
			from our submission).		