

## **Access4 Pty Ltd**

In regards to the drafting of C661:2022 and requests for comment in relation to Clause 4.2.1 e) we as a CSP that process thousands of ports per month would support "Option 2: issued to the A-Party caller by any C/CSP". The reasoning behind this request is that a significant number of customers required legitimate CLID over-stamping for a number of reasons including pre-port cutovers, emergency failover and burst thresholds in relation to our carrier management. It is unrealistic to expect carriers to whitelist in a timely fashion or expect gaining C/CSP's to find alternative solutions where legitimate requirements exist. I see this far-reaching attempt to block CLID's, where they haven't originated from the issuing C/CSP, by large carriers as a restriction of trade and further impeding our ability as a challenger brand to continue to deliver a customer centric service.

Regards Tim